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## Overview

- A marriage between the graph theory and probability theory: it uses graphs to represent probabilistic models and facilitate inference
- The graphical structures reflect the conditional independency of the model (intuitive, convenient and expressive for modeling)
- The inference relies on the graphical structures (easy to implement, apply, analyze and improve)
- Neural networks are instances of graphical models


## Outline

- Bayesian networks
- Graphical representation
- Conditional independence
- D-separation, Bayes ball algorithm
- Markov blanket
- Markov random field
- Conditional independence
- Relation to directed graphs
- Inference
- Factor-graphs
- Sum-product algorithm
- Max-product, max-sum algorithms


## Outline

- Bayesian networks
- Markov random fields
- Inference


## Bayesian networks

- Bayes' Rule (theorem) revisited

$$
\begin{gathered}
p\left(\mathbf{x}_{2} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)=\frac{p\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)}{p\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)} \\
p\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)= \\
p\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) p\left(\mathbf{x}_{2} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1}\right) p\left(\mathbf{x}_{3} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \ldots \\
\\
p\left(\mathbf{x}_{n} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}\right) \quad \text { Why? }
\end{gathered}
$$

The decomposition of the joint probability defines a sampling procedure. We sequentially sample each variable given the previously sampled ones

## Bayesian networks

- Consider a probabilistic model over 3 random variables: $a, b, c$

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(c \mid a, b) p(b \mid a) p(a)
$$

## Bayesian networks

- Question: can we use a graph to represent their joint probability?

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(c \mid a, b) p(b \mid a) p(a)
$$

## Bayesian networks

- Question: can we use a graph to represent their joint probability?

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(c \mid a, b) p(b \mid a) p(a)
$$



## Bayesian networks - representation

- Given the joint probability,
- Use a node to represent each random variable (RV)
- For each conditional distribution in the joint probability, $p\left(a \mid b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)$, add an edge from each $b_{i}$ to $a(1 \leq i \leq m)$. The RVs in the condition parts are represented as the parents
- If no condition parts, the node has no parents

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(c \mid a, b) p(b \mid a) p(a)
$$



## Bayesian networks - representation

- Another example

$$
p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{4} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{5} \mid x_{1}, x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{6} \mid x_{4}\right) p\left(x_{7} \mid x_{4}, x_{5}\right) .
$$



## Bayesian networks

- We name this representation as a Bayesian network
- Bayesian networks must be a Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAG)! Why?


## Bayesian networks

- We name this representation as a Bayesian network
- Bayesian networks must be a Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAG)! Why?

A cycle means each random variable (RV) can be sampled only if all the other RVs in the cycle have been sampled. That means, the RVs in the cycle cannot be sequentially sampled. This violates Bayes' Rule, since Bayes' Rule guarantees all the random variables can be sequentially sampled via the joint probability decomposition.

## Bayesian networks

- Polynomial regression

$$
p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{w})=p(\mathbf{w}) \prod_{n=1}^{N} p\left(t_{n} \mid \mathbf{w}\right)
$$



## Bayesian networks

- How to be more specific and succinct?



## Bayesian networks



Small solid nodes: deterministic parameters, uninterested observations

Big empty nodes: latent random variables

Plate with label $\mathbf{N}$ : $N$ replicates

## Bayesian networks

- In the training data, the outputs have been observed


Shaded nodes: observed latent

## Bayesian networks - notes

- The network structure is determined by the factorization of the joint probability; different factorization leads to different structures

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(a, b, c)=p(a) p(b \mid a) p(c \mid a, b) \\
& p(a, b, c)=p(b) p(c \mid b) p(a \mid b, c)
\end{aligned}
$$

What are the networks?

So, equivalent models may have different structures

## Bayesian networks - notes

- How to design the factorization of the joint probability is the key of the probabilistic modeling.
- Using the full Bayes formula will lead to a fully connected network, which represents the most general modelling (without any assumptions). But this is not what we want.
- For probabilistic modeling, we nearly always use domain knowledge to simplify the joint probability, which can be reflected by the network structure. The simplification is called conditional independence.


## Bayesian networks

- Linear Gaussian model
- For multivariate Gaussian variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$

$$
p\left(x_{i} \mid \mathrm{pa}_{i}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \sum_{j \in \mathrm{pa}_{i}} w_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i}, v_{i}\right)
$$

Question1: what is the network structure if we do not make any assumption? Fully connected

Question2: How many parameters do we need to estimate? $\quad \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$

## Bayesian networks

- Linear Gaussian model: Let us choose a chain structure

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bigcirc^{x_{1}} \bigcirc^{x_{2}} \cdots \\
p\left(x_{i} \mid \mathrm{pa}_{i}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \sum_{j \in \mathrm{pa}_{i}} w_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i}, v_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Question2: How many parameters do we need to estimate? $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$

## Bayesian networks

- In general, the simplification of the Bayes‘ Rule reflects our ideas, tricks and knowledge in probabilistic modeling
- How is the simplification reflected?


## Conditional independence!

## Conditional Independence

- Consider a probabilistic model over 3 random variables: $a, b, c$
$a$ is conditional independent of $b$ given $c$ if

$$
p(a \mid b, c)=p(a \mid c) \quad \text { Why? }
$$

$$
a \Perp b \mid c
$$

## Conditional Independence

- What is the Bayesian network?

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(c) p(b \mid c) p(a \mid b, c)=p(c) p(b \mid c) p(a \mid c)
$$



The network structure is simplified as well

## Conditional Independence

- Practically , how do we design a Bayesian network?


## Consider a sampling (generative) process



We usually do not explicitly consider all possible conditional independences!

## Conditional Independence

- Question: For a (complex) Bayesian network, given arbitrary nonintersecting sets of nodes $A, B, C$, how do we test the conditional independency?


## $A \Perp B \mid C$

- This is important to analyze our model


## D-separation

- Basic case I: tail-to-tail


$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \not \Perp b \mid \emptyset \\
& a \Perp b \mid c
\end{aligned}
$$

Why?

## D-separation

- Basic case II: head-to-tail

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \not \Perp b \mid \emptyset \\
& a \Perp b \mid c
\end{aligned}
$$

Why?

## D-separation

- Basic case III (a little odd): head-to-head


$$
\begin{gathered}
a \Perp b \mid \emptyset \\
a \not \Perp b \mid c \\
\text { Why? }
\end{gathered}
$$

## D-separation

B: battery

F: fuel tank
G: gauge

- head-to-head: explain away effect


$$
\begin{gathered}
p(B=1)=0.9 \\
p(F=1)=0.9 . \\
p(G=1 \mid B=1, F=1)=0.8 \\
p(G=1 \mid B=1, F=0)=0.2 \\
p(G=1 \mid B=0, F=1)=0.2 \\
p(G=1 \mid B=0, F=0)=0.1
\end{gathered}
$$

## D-separation

- head-to-head: explain away effect



$$
p(F=0 \mid G=0, B=0)=\frac{p(G=0 \mid B=0, F=0) p(F=0)}{\sum_{F \in\{0,1\}} p(G=0 \mid B=0, F) p(F)} \simeq 0.111
$$

Why? Batter being dead partly takes away the effect of zero Gauge

## D-separation

- head-to-head: more general case



## D-separation

- In general, for a (complex) Bayesian network, given arbitrary nonintersecting sets of nodes $A, B, C$, how to test the conditional independency?

$$
A \Perp B \mid C
$$

## D-separation (Bayes ball algo.) $A \Perp B \mid C$

- Step 1: Shade all the nodes in C
- Step 2: For every path from any node in A to any node in B
- If the path contains a node, such that
- the arrows on the path meet head-to-tail or tail-to-tail at a node in C, the path is blocked and continue, OR
- the arrows on the path meet head-to-head at a node, and neither the node or any of its descendent is in C,
the path is blocked and continue
- Otherwise, return $A \Perp B \mid C$ does not hold
- Step 3: if every path is blocked, return $A \Perp B \mid C$ holds


## D-separation - examples



$$
A=\{a\}, B=\{b\}, C=\{c\}
$$

$$
A=\{a\}, B=\{b\}, C=\{f\}
$$

## Markov-blanket

- Consider a Bayesian network with $D$ nodes, $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{D}}$
- For a particular node $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$, conditioned on what set of variables, $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ are independent to the remaining variables?

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}_{\{j \neq i\}}\right) & =\frac{p\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{D}\right)}{\int p\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{D}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}_{i}} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{k} p\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathrm{pa}_{k}\right)}{\int \prod_{k} p\left(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathrm{pa}_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Markov-blanket

- Answer: $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime}$ 's parents, $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime}$ 's children and the children's co-parents
- These variables are called the Markov-blanket of $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$



## Some thoughts

- D-separation is a bit subtle to test the conditional independency
- Can we have easier graphical representations that allow more natural tests? e.g., only based on paths without considering arrow directions?


## Markov random fields



Markov blanket
$A \Perp B \mid C$

Cliques and maximum cliques


## Joint distribution

$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{Z} \prod_{C} \psi_{C}\left(\mathbf{x}_{C}\right)
$$

Where $\psi_{C}\left(\mathbf{x}_{C}\right) \geqslant 0$ is the potential function over maximum clique C

$$
Z=\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{C} \psi_{C}\left(\mathbf{x}_{C}\right)
$$

is the normalization constant, also called partition function

Energy and the Boltzmann distribution

$$
\psi_{C}\left(\mathbf{x}_{C}\right)=\exp \left\{-E\left(\mathbf{x}_{C}\right)\right\}
$$

## Illustration: Image Denoise



Ground-truth

noisy observation

## Illustration: Image Denoise



## Illustration: Image Denoise


noisy observation

restored version (ICM)

## How to convert directed to undirected graphs



## How to convert directed to undirected graphs

Add additional links: "marrying parents", i.e., moralization


$$
p(\mathbf{x})=p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{4} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
$$

## Directed vs. undirected graphs


$A \Perp B \mid \emptyset$
$A \not \Perp B \mid C$


$$
\begin{gathered}
A \not \Perp B \mid \emptyset \\
A \Perp B \mid C \cup D \\
C \Perp D \mid A \cup B
\end{gathered}
$$

## What you need to know

- How to construct Bayes networks and Markov random field
- How to convert a BN to MRF (moralization)
- BN is an acyclic directed graph, why? (Bayes' Rule)
- Conditional independence
- Head-to-tail, tail-to-tail and head-to-head
- Explain away effect
- D-separation (Bayes ball algorithm)
- BNs are NOT equivalent to MRFs!

