Week 6: Lecture A Harnessing I

Monday, February 12, 2024



Recap: Key Dates

- Feb. 12 Lab 3 released
- Feb. 14 Lab 2 due
- Feb. 19 No class (President's Day)
- Feb. 28 Lab 3 due
- Feb. 28 5-minute project proposals
- Mar. 04 & 06 No class (Spring Break)
- Apr. 17 & 22 Final project presentations

cs.utah.edu/~snagy/courses/cs5963/schedule

Feb. 05	Feb. 07
Bugs & Triage I (slides)	Bugs & Triage II (slides)
▶ Readings:	▶ Readings:
Triage Lab released	Beginner Fuzzing Lab due by 11:59pm
Feb. 12	Feb. 14
Harnessing I	Harnessing II
▶ Readings:	▶ Readings:
Harnessing Lab released	Triage Lab due by 11:59pm
Feb. 19 No Class (President's Day)	Feb. 21 Tackling Roadblocks ▶ Readings:
Feb. 26 Fuzzing Science ▶ Readings: Final Project released	Feb. 28 Proposal Presentations Harnessing Lab due by 11:59pm
Mar. 04	Mar. 06
No Class (Spring Break)	No Class (Spring Break)



Recap: Lab 2 Overview

- **Assignment:** learn how to use AddressSanitizer (ASAN)
 - Read its documentation in <u>https://clang.llvm.org/docs/AddressSanitizer.html</u>

Replay the crashes you found in Lab 1 on an ASAN-instrumented binary

- Collect information on each crash
- What do you observe?

Deliverable: a 1–3 page report detailing your findings

Feel free to make it your own (e.g., pictures, text, etc.)

Linux environments are recommended

Use a VM if you don't have one!

Recap: Lab 2 Tips

Re-run crashes on the ASAN instrumented binary

- Use Python to script collection of ASAN outputs
- Do string post-processing to collect error types, crashing source line, etc.
- Group and deduplicate crashes as you see fit

Didn't find any crashes in Lab 1?

- Try fuzzing fuzzgoat from <u>https://github.com/fuzzstati0n/fuzzgoat</u>
- Should yield **lots** of crashes quickly



Questions?









Recap: Coverage-guided Fuzzing





Stefan Nagy

Recap: Coverage-guided Fuzzing





Stefan Nagy

Harnessing

Definition: making a program fuzzable

- Pass input data to a program's core logic
- Skip functionality we don't care about
- Drop-in integration with fuzzers (e.g., AFL)



Harnessing

• **Definition:** making a program **fuzzable**

- Pass input data to a program's core logic
- Skip functionality we don't care about
- Drop-in integration with fuzzers (e.g., AFL)

Types of harnesses

- Target a single function (libFuzzer-style)
- Target many functions (AFL-style)



Harnessing

• **Definition:** making a program **fuzzable**

- Pass input data to a program's core logic
- Skip functionality we don't care about
- Drop-in integration with fuzzers (e.g., AFL)

Types of harnesses

- Target a single function (libFuzzer-style)
- Target many functions (AFL-style)

One of the most important (and difficult) parts of fuzzing

- Lots of domain expertise
- Automating still an open problem

What makes a good harness?

- **Speed:** avoid re-executing uninteresting code
 - GUI initialization
 - Server/client setup routines
 - Weird developer-added obstacles
 - Cycles are precious—don't waste them!





What makes a good harness?

• **Coverage:** invoke interesting parts of the code

- Higher coverage = more thorough testing
- Test hard-to-reach functionality
- Measure and improve harnesses
- Coverage blindspots = missed bugs!





What makes a good harness?

- Correctness: reset necessary program state
 - Global variable state
 - Stack state
 - Heap state
 - State errors = false positive crashes!





Harnessing Open- vs. Closed-source Code

Open Source:

- Publicly-available source codebase
- Achieves security by transparency



Generally easy to harness



Harnessing Open- vs. Closed-source Code

Open Source:

- Publicly-available source codebase
- Achieves security by transparency



Generally easy to harness

Closed Source:

- Distributed as a precompiled binary
- Opaque to everyone but its developer



Far more difficult to harness



Basic Harnessing



• **AFL:** program takes **command-line** input

./TargetBinary [arguments] @@



- AFL: program takes command-line input
 - Load, send, and store input data as files





libFuzzer: inputs as buffered data

int LLVMFuzzerTestOneInput (const char *Data, long Size) {
}

libFuzzer: inputs as buffered data

• Fuzzer alters **Data** and **Size** objects

```
int LLVMFuzzerTestOneInput (const char *Data, long Size) {
   DoSomethingInterestingWithMyAPI (Data, Size);
   return 0;
}
```



So what's the difference?

• **AFL:** program takes **command-line** input

./TargetBinary [arguments] @@

libFuzzer: inputs as buffered data

```
int LLVMFuzzerTestOneInput (const char *Data, long Size) {
   DoSomethingInterestingWithMyAPI (Data, Size);
   return 0;
}
```

APIs vs Applications



???

Application

???





APIs vs Applications

API

- Application Programming Interface
- Suite of functions for some niche purpose
- Intended to be used by other applications

Application

???





APIs vs Applications

API

- Application Programming Interface
- Suite of functions for some niche purpose
- Intended to be used by other applications

Application

- Self-contained programs
- Single- or multi-purpose
- "Consumes" external APIs



Identifying Suitable Targets

• For APIs (e.g., libJPEG):

- Find API's "consumer" functions
- Review documentation and figure out how to call it

- For Applications (e.g., Adobe Reader):
 - Find what directly loads data (e.g., calls fopen())
 - Skip-over irrelevant setup code





int

archive_read_open(struct archive *, void *client_data, archive_open_callback *, archive_read_callback *, archive_close_callback *);

int

archive_read_open2(struct archive *, void *client_data, archive_open_callback *, archive_read_callback *, archive_skip_callback *, archive_close_callback *);

int

archive_read_open_FILE(struct archive *, FILE *file);

int

archive_read_open_fd(struct archive *, int fd, size_t block_size);

int

archive_read_open_filename(struct archive *, const char *filename, size_t block_size);

int

archive_read_open_memory(struct archive *, void *buff, size_t size);



int
archive_read_open(struct archive *, void *client_data, archive_open_callback *,
archive_read_callback *, archive_close_callback *);
int
archive_read_open2(struct archive *, void *client_data, archive_open_callback *,
archive_read_callback *, archive_skip_callback *, archive_close_callback *);
int
archive_read_open_FILE(<i>struct archive *, FILE *file</i>);
INE
alcinve_reau_open_iu(struct alcinve ~, int iu, size_t block_size),
int
archive_read_open_filename(struct archive *, const char *filename, size_t block_size);
int
archive_read_open_memory(struct archive *, void *buff, size_t size);









archive_read_data()	
	Read data associated with the header just read. Internally, this is a convenience function that calls archive_read_data_block() and fills any gaps with nulls so that callers see a single continuous stream of data
ļ	archive read data block()
ŝ	Return the next available block of data for this entry Unlike
	archive_read_data(), the archive_read_data_block() function avoids copying data and allows you to correctly handle sparse files, as supported by some archive formats. The library guarantees that offsets will increase and that blocks will not overlap. Note that the blocks returned from this function can be much larger than the block size read from disk, due to compression and internal buffer optimizations.
İ	archive_read_data_skip()
l	A convenience function that repeatedly calls
	archive_read_data_block() to skip all of the data for this archive entry. Note that this function is invoked automatically by archive_read_next_header2() if the previous entry was not completely consumed.
Ē	archive_read_data_into_fd()
	A convenience function that repeatedly calls archive_read_data_block() to copy the entire entry to the provided file descriptor.
۶.	



-	
/	archive_read_data()
	Read data associated with the header just read. Internally, this 🔖
	a convenience function that calls archive_read_data_block() and
	fills any gaps with nulls so that callers see a single continuous
1	stream of data.
	archive_read_data_block()
	Return the next available block of data for this entry. Unlike
	archive_read_data(), the archive_read_data_block() function avoids
	copying data and allows you to correctly handle sparse files, as
	supported by some archive formats. The library guarantees that
	offsets will increase and that blocks will not overlap. Note that
	the blocks returned from this function can be much larger than the
	block size read from disk, due to compression and internal buffer
	optimizations.
	archive_read_data_skip()
	A convenience function that repeatedly calls
	archive_read_data_block() to skip all of the data for this archive
	entry. Note that this function is invoked automatically by
	archive_read_next_header2() if the previous entry was not completely
	consumed.
	archive read data into fd()
	A convenience function that repeatedly calls
	archive read data block() to copy the entire entry to the provided
	file descriptor.
	· · /









```
int LLVMFuzzerTestOneInput(*buf, len) {
```

```
int ret;
ssize_t r:
struct archive *a = archive_read_new();
```

```
Buffer buffer = {buf, len};
archive_read_open(a, &buffer, NULL, ..., NULL);
```

```
std::vector<uint8_t> databuf (getpagesize(), 0);
struct archive_entry *entry;
```

```
while(1) {
    ret = archive_read_next_header(a, &entry);
    if (ret == ARCHIVE_EOF || ARCHIVE_FATAL)
      Break:
    while (r =
     archive_read_data(a,
           databuf.data(),
```

```
databuf.size())
> 0);
```

```
if (r == ARCHIVE_FATAL)
  break:
```

```
archive_read_free(a);
return 0;
```



No source code?

- Capture a few call traces when running some valid inputs
- Look for functions that call interesting functions (e.g., fopen())
- Work backwards and figure out how to call them
 - E.g., what validity-checking functions to call first









Have source code?

- ... or a reasonably-precise decompilation?
- Consider McCabe's Cyclomatic Complexity
 - #Edges #Nodes + 2(#ConnectedComponents)



Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cyclomatic_complexity



Stefan Nagy

Have source code?

- ... or a reasonably-precise decompilation?
- Consider McCabe's Cyclomatic Complexity
 - #Edges #Nodes + 2(#ConnectedComponents)
 - Example = 9 8 + 2(1) = 3
- Higher score considered more interesting target







Stefan Nagy

Have source code?

- ... or a reasonably-precise decompilation?
- Consider McCabe's Cyclomatic Complexity
 - #Edges #Nodes + 2(#ConnectedComponents)
 - Example = 9 8 + 2(1) = 3
- Higher score considered more interesting target
 - Caveat: switch table for parsing cmdline opts
 - High CC yet irrelevant to the fuzzer—why?

	opt) {
	bsdtar->flags = 0PTFLAG_AUT0_COMPRESS;
	break;
case OPT	ION_ACLS: /* GNU tar */
	<pre>bsdtar->extract_flags = ARCHIVE_EXTRACT_ACL;</pre>
	<pre>bsdtar->readdisk_flags &= ~ARCHIVE_READDISK_N0_ACL;</pre>
	bsdtar->flags = OPTFLAG_ACLS;
	break;
case 'B'	
	/* libarchive doesn't need this; just ignore it. */
case 'b'	
	errno = 0;
	tptr = NULL;
	t = (int)strtol(bsdtar->argument, &tptr, 10);
	if (errno t <= 0 t > 8192
	*(bsdtar->argument) == '\0' tptr == NULL
	*tptr != '\0') {
	<pre>lafe_errc(1, 0, "Invalid or out of range "</pre>
	"(18192) argument to -b");
	<pre>bsdtar->bytes_per_block = 512 * t; // Eveliaity h factor last block size //</pre>
	/* EXPLICIT -D TORCES LAST DLOCK SIZE. */
	bsotar->bytes_in_tast_block = bsotar->bytes_per_block;
	Dreak .





Have source code?

- ... or a reasonably-precise decompilation?
- Consider McCabe's Cyclomatic Complexity
 - #Edges #Nodes + 2(#ConnectedComponents)
 - Example = 9 8 + 2(1) = 3
- Higher score considered more interesting target
 - Caveat: switch table for parsing cmdline opts
 - High CC yet irrelevant to the fuzzer—why?
 - Path is hardcoded pre-fuzzing!

1	<pre>switch (opt) {</pre>
	<pre>bsdtar->flags = OPTFLAG_AUTO_COMPRESS;</pre>
	<pre>case OPTION_ACLS: /* GNU tar */</pre>
	<pre>bsdtar->extract_flags = ARCHIVE_EXTRACT_ACL;</pre>
	<pre>bsdtar->readdisk_flags &= ~ARCHIVE_READDISK_N0_ACL;</pre>
	<pre>bsdtar->flags = OPTFLAG_ACLS;</pre>
	break;
	case 'B': /* GNU tar */
	/* libarchive doesn't need this; just ignore it. */
	<pre>case 'b': /* SUSv2 */</pre>
	errno = 0;
	tptr = NULL;
	t = (int)strtol(bsdtar->argument, &tptr, 10);
	if (errno t <= 0 t > 8192
	*(bsdtar->argument) == '\0' tptr == NULL
	*tptr != '\0') {
	lafe_errc(1, 0, "Invalid or out of range "
	"(18192) argument to -b");
	bsdtar->bytes_per_block = 512 * t;
	bsdtar->bytes_in_last_block = bsdtar->bytes_per_block;
	hreak.







- int a = 1;
- int b = 2;
- if (a>b)
 - cout<<"a is greater";</pre>

else

cout<<"b is greater";</pre>

```
CC score
= 6 - 7 + 2(1)
= 1
```



Trial and Error

Writing harnesses is not a one-and-done task

There is always room for improvement

• Write an initial harness, test it, and reflect

Is the harness actually correct?





Trial and Error

Writing harnesses is not a one-and-done task

There is always room for improvement

Write an initial harness, test it, and reflect

- Is the harness actually correct?
 - Yes: no (or few) false positive crashes
 - No: lots of false positive crashes
- Am I executing interesting functionality?





Trial and Error

Writing harnesses is not a one-and-done task

There is always room for improvement

Write an initial harness, test it, and reflect

- Is the harness actually correct?
 - Yes: no (or few) false positive crashes
 - No: lots of false positive crashes
- Am I executing interesting functionality?
 - Yes: coverage increases over time
 - **No:** coverage plateaus after some time
- Study your target, and find ways improve your harnesses





Questions?



