Please use the LATEX template to produce your writeups. See the Homework Assignments page on the class website for details. Hand in through gradescope.

## 1 Functional Approximation

We revisit the simplied version of blackjack from Homework 5. The deck is infinite and the dealer always has a fixed count of 15 . The deck contains cards 2 through $10, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{K}$, and A, each of which is equally likely to appear when a card is drawn. Each number card is worth the number of points shown on it, the cards $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{Q}$, and K are worth 10 points, and A is worth 11 . At each turn, you have two possible actions: either hit or stay.

Unhappy with your experience with basic Q-learning, you decide to featurize your Q-values. Consider the two feature functions:

$$
f_{1}(s, a)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a=\text { stay } \\
+1 & a=\text { hit }, s \geq 15 \\
-1 & a=\text { hit }, s<15
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}(s, a)= \begin{cases}0 & a=\text { stay } \\
+1 & a=\text { hit }, s \geq 18 \\
-1 & a=\text { hit }, s<18\end{cases}\right.
$$

Which of the following partial policy tables may be represented by the featurized Q-values unambiguously (without ties)? Derive your answers for each policy table.

| $s$ | $\pi(s)$ | $s$ | $\pi(s)$ | $s$ | $\pi(s)$ | $s$ | $\pi(s)$ | $s$ | $\pi(s)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | hit | 14 | stay | 14 | hit | 14 | hit | 14 | hit |
| 15 | hit | 15 | hit | 15 | hit | 15 | hit | 15 | hit |
| 16 | hit | 16 | hit | 16 | hit | 16 | hit | 16 | hit |
| 17 | hit | 17 | hit | 17 | hit | 17 | hit | 17 | stay |
| 18 | hit | 18 | stay | 18 | stay | 18 | hit | 18 | hit |
| 19 | hit | 19 | stay | 19 | stay | 19 | stay | 19 | stay |
| (a) |  | (b) |  | (c) |  | (d) |  | (e) |  |

