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Background: Soft Real-Time in an Open System
- Goal: Coexisting, independently developed real-time applications
  - Digital video and audio, voice recognition, vision, soft modem, games, etc.
- A solution: add CPU reservations to general-purpose OS
  - Applications scheduled at specified rate and granularity
  - E.g. 1 ms / 7.5 ms, 15 ms / 250 ms

Rez: A Reservation Scheduler
- Algorithm:
  - EDF
  - Budgets
- Implementation:
  - In Windows 2000 kernel
  - Uses HLS hierarchical scheduler infrastructure
  - 400 lines of C

HLS Example

A Problem: Stolen Time
- OS may steal CPU time from applications, causing missed deadlines
- Stolen time sources:
  - DPCs in Windows NT / 2000
  - Bottom half handlers in Unix
- Stolen time mechanisms: high priority, not preemptible, not accounted for

HLS = Windows 2000 + 3100 lines of C
### Time Stolen by Network Receive Processing

### Stolen Time Solutions
- Move CPU-intensive tasks into threads
- Make stolen time mechanisms preemptible
- Account for worst-case amount of stolen time
- Augmented CPU reservations

### Augmented Reservations
- **Strategy:** accurately measure stolen time
  - Instrument Windows 2000 dispatch interrupt handler
- **Rez-C:** avoid deducting stolen time from budgets
- **Rez-FB:** feedback control
  - **Goal:** actual CPU time == requested CPU time

### Rez-FB
- **Set point:** $R$  
  (requested reservation amount)
- **Actuator:** $C_t$  
  (actual reservation amount)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Rez-FB</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Feedback: $P_t$  
(amount of stolen time) |

**Feedback equation:**

$$C_{t+1} = C_t + G(R-P_t)$$

Evaluated each period for each reservation.

### More Stolen Time Data
- **Test machine:** 500 MHz PIII
- **Receive processing for 100 Mbps Ethernet:**
  - More than 20% of reservation in Linux and Windows 2000
- **Software modem:**
  - 9.9% in Windows 2000
- **USB 1.1**
  - 5.7% in Windows 2000
- **USB 2.0, Firewire**
  - ??
Time Stolen by Disk Driver

- 49% of reservation stolen by Linux IDE disk driver in default mode (PIO)

Related Work

- Moving code into scheduled contexts
  - Soft modems [Jones and Saroiu 01]
- Scheduling bottom-half activity
  - Mach [Rashid et al. 89]
  - Nemesis [Leslie et al. 96]
  - FreeBSD [Jeffay et al. 98]
- Including stolen time in schedulability analysis
  - Accounting for interrupt costs [Jeffay and Stone 93]
- Feedback-based scheduling
  - FC-EDF [Lu et al. 99]

Conclusion

- Stolen time is a serious problem
  - Experiments show up to 50% of CPU being stolen
  - OSs have hundreds of drivers, many of which may steal time
- Augmented CPU reservations:
  - Simple and non-intrusive
  - Increase application scheduling predictability during stolen time

The End

- More info and papers here: http://www.cs.utah.edu/~regehr
- Let’s talk…

Augmented Reservation Contributions

- Rez-C and Rez-FB
  - 6% over-reservation to eliminate most deadline misses due to network traffic
  - vs. 24% over-reservation for plain Rez
- Quantified severity of stolen time
  - Windows 2000 + Rez and Linux/RT
  - Network, disk, software modem, USB

OS Design Rule

- Mechanisms that are invoked often must be lightweight
  - Interrupts
  - Highest priority
  - Fixed-priority scheduler
  - DPCs, bottom-half handlers
    - Medium priority
    - FIFO scheduler
  - Threads
    - Lowest priority
    - Time-sharing scheduler