## Lecture: Review Session

- Topics: first half recap


## Problem 3

- Processor-A at 3 GHz consumes 80 W of dynamic power and 20 W of static power. It completes a program in 20 seconds.
What is the energy consumption if I scale frequency down by $20 \%$ ?

What is the energy consumption if I scale frequency and voltage down by 20\%?

## Problem 3

- Processor-A at 3 GHz consumes 80 W of dynamic power and 20 W of static power. It completes a program in 20 seconds.
What is the energy consumption if I scale frequency down by $20 \%$ ?
New dynamic power $=64 \mathrm{~W}$; New static power $=20 \mathrm{~W}$ New execution time $=25$ secs (assuming CPU-bound) Energy $=84 \mathrm{~W} \times 25$ secs $=2100$ Joules

What is the energy consumption if I scale frequency and voltage down by 20\%?
New dynamic power = 41W; New static power = 16W; New exec time = 25 secs; Energy = 1425 Joules

## Problem 4

- Consider 3 programs from a benchmark set. Assume that system-A is the reference machine. How does the performance of system-B compare against that of system-C (for all 3 metrics)?

|  | $P 1$ | $P 2$ | $P 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sys-A | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| Sys-B | 6 | 8 | 18 |
| Sys-C | 7 | 9 | 14 |

$>$ Sum of execution times (AM)
$>$ Sum of weighted execution times (AM)
$>$ Geometric mean of execution times (GM)

## Problem 4

- Consider 3 programs from a benchmark set. Assume that system-A is the reference machine. How does the performance of system-B compare against that of system-C (for all 3 metrics)?

|  | P1 | P2 | P3 | S.E.T | S.W.E.T | GM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sys-A | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 3 | 10 |
| Sys-B | 6 | 8 | 18 | 32 | 2.9 | 9.5 |
| Sys-C | 7 | 9 | 14 | 30 | 3 | 9.6 |

$>$ Relative to C, B provides a speedup of 1.03 (S.W.E.T) or 1.01 (GM) or 0.94 (S.E.T)
$\rightarrow$ Relative to $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ reduces execution time by
$3.3 \%$ (S.W.E.T) or $1 \%$ (GM) or $-6.7 \%$ (S.E.T)

## Problem 6

- My new laptop has a clock speed that is $30 \%$ higher than the old laptop. I'm running the same binaries on both machines. Their IPCs are listed below. I run the binaries such that each binary gets an equal share of CPU time. What speedup is my new laptop providing?
P1 P2 P3
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Old-IPC } & 1.2 & 1.6 & 2.0\end{array}$
New-IPC $1.6 \quad 1.6 \quad 1.6$


## Problem 6

- My new laptop has a clock speed that is $30 \%$ higher than the old laptop. I'm running the same binaries on both machines. Their IPCs are listed below. I run the binaries such that each binary gets an equal share of CPU time. What speedup is my new laptop providing?

|  | P1 | P2 | P3 | AM | GM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old-IPC | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.57 |
| New-IPC | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |

AM of IPCs is the right measure. Could have also used GM. Speedup with AM would be 1.3.

## Problem 2

- An unpipelined processor takes 5 ns to work on one instruction. It then takes 0.2 ns to latch its results into latches. I was able to convert the circuits into 5 sequential pipeline stages. The stages have the following lengths: 1ns; 0.6ns; 1.2ns; 1.4ns; 0.8ns. Answer the following, assuming that there are no stalls in the pipeline.
- What is the cycle time in the new processor?
- What is the clock speed?
- What is the IPC?
- How long does it take to finish one instr?
- What is the speedup from pipelining?
- What is the max speedup from pipelining?


## Problem 2

- An unpipelined processor takes 5 ns to work on one instruction. It then takes 0.2 ns to latch its results into latches. I was able to convert the circuits into 5 sequential pipeline stages. The stages have the following lengths: 1ns; 0.6ns; 1.2ns; 1.4ns; 0.8ns. Answer the following, assuming that there are no stalls in the pipeline.
- What is the cycle time in the new processor? 1.6ns
- What is the clock speed? 625 MHz
- What is the IPC? 1
- How long does it take to finish one instr? 8ns
- What is the speedup from pipelining? $625 / 192=3.26$
- What is the max speedup from pipelining? 5.2/0.2 = 26


## Problem 8

- Consider this 8 -stage pipeline (RR and RW take a full cycle)

\section*{| IF | DE | RR | AL | AL | DM | DM | RW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}

- For the following pairs of instructions, how many stalls will the $2^{\text {nd }}$ instruction experience (with and without bypassing)?
- ADD R3 $\leftarrow$ R1+R2 ADD R5 $\leftarrow$ R3+R4
- LD R2 $\leftarrow[R 1]$ ADD R4 $\leftarrow$ R2+R3
- LD R2 $\leftarrow[R 1]$

SD R3 $\rightarrow$ [R2]

- LD R2 $\leftarrow[R 1]$

SD R2 $\rightarrow$ [R3]
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## Problem 8

- Consider this 8 -stage pipeline (RR and RW take a full cycle)

| IF | DE | RR | AL | AL | DM | DM | RW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- For the following pairs of instructions, how many stalls will the $2^{\text {nd }}$ instruction experience (with and without bypassing)?
- ADD R3 < R1+R2 ADD R5 $\leftarrow$ R3+R4 without: 5 with: 1
- LD R2 $\leftarrow[R 1]$ ADD R4 $\leftarrow$ R2+R3 without: 5 with: 3
- LD R2 $\leftarrow[R 1]$ SD R3 $\rightarrow$ [R2]
- LD R2 $\leftarrow[R 1]$ SD R2 $\rightarrow$ [R3] without: 5 with: 1

Consider the following in-order pipeline:

| BP | IC | DEC | RR | IntAdd | WB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | EffAdd | DC | DC | DC | WB |
|  |  |  |  | FPA1 | FPA2 | FPA3 | WB |  |

The initial part of the pipeline involves branch prediction (BP), instruction cache fetch (IC), decode (DEC), and register read (RR). The decode stage will force an instruction to remain in the DEC stage until it is safe to proceed. After the RR stage, Integer-adds go through " $\ln$ IntAdd" and " $W$ W" (register writeback). Loads and stores go through " $E f f a d d "$ (where the load/store address is calculated), then three data-cache stages, and finally the " WB " stage. Floating-point adds go through three "'FPA" stages and then the "'WB" stage. What are the stall cycles introduced between the following pairs of successive instructions with and without full bypassing? Assume that a register read and a register write take up an entire cycle each. For each case, show the stages for each instruction with clearly marked points of production/consumption.

1. Load, providing data for a store
2. Load, providing input for an FP-add
3. Int-add, providing address for a load

Consider the following in-order pipeline:

| BP | IC | DEC | RR | IntAdd | WB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | EffAdd | DC | DC | DC | WB |
|  |  |  |  | FPA1 | FPA2 | FPA3 | WB |  |

The initial part of the pipeline involves branch prediction (BP), instruction cache fetch (IC), decode (DEC), and register read (RR). The decode stage will force an instruction to remain in the DEC stage until it is safe to proceed. After the RR stage, Integer-adds go through " $\ln$ IntAdd" and " $W$ W" (register writeback). Loads and stores go through " $E f f a d d "$ (where the load/store address is calculated), then three data-cache stages, and finally the " $W$ WB" stage. Floating-point adds go through three "'FPA" stages and then the "'WB" stage. What are the stall cycles introduced between the following pairs of successive instructions with and without full bypassing? Assume that a register read and a register write take up an entire cycle each. For each case, show the stages for each instruction with clearly marked points of production/consumption.

1. Load, providing data for a store
2. Load, providing input for an FP-add
3. Int-add, providing address for a load

## Problem 1

- Consider a branch that is taken $80 \%$ of the time. On average, how many stalls are introduced for this branch for each approach below:
- Stall fetch until branch outcome is known
- Assume not-taken and squash if the branch is taken
- Assume a branch delay slot
- You can't find anything to put in the delay slot
- An instr before the branch is put in the delay slot
- An instr from the taken side is put in the delay slot
$\circ$ An instr from the not-taken side is put in the slot


## Problem 1

- Consider a branch that is taken $80 \%$ of the time. On average, how many stalls are introduced for this branch for each approach below:
- Stall fetch until branch outcome is known - 1
- Assume not-taken and squash if the branch is taken - 0.8
- Assume a branch delay slot
- You can't find anything to put in the delay slot - 1
- An instr before the branch is put in the delay slot - 0
- An instr from the taken side is put in the slot -0.2
- An instr from the not-taken side is put in the slot -0.8


## Problem 2b

- Assume an unpipelined processor where it takes 10ns to go through the circuits and 0.2 ns for the latch overhead. What is the throughput for 10-stage and 20-stage pipelines? Assume that the P.O.P and P.O.C in the unpipelined processor are separated by $3 n s$. Assume that half the instructions do not introduce a data hazard and half the instructions depend on their preceding instruction.


## Problem 1



Assembly code

- How many cycles do the default and optimized schedules take?


## Problem 1



Assembly code

Unoptimized: LD 1s MUL 4s SD DA DA BNE 1s -- 12 cycles Optimized: LD DA MUL DA 2s BNE SD -- 8 cycles

Degree 2: LD LD MUL MUL DA DA 1s SD BNE SD
Degree 3: LD LD LD MUL MUL MUL DA DA SD SD BNE SD

- $12 \mathrm{cyc} / 3$ iterations

Source Code:

```
for (i=1000; i>0; i--) {
w[i] = x[i] * w[i];
}
```

Assembly Code: Loop:
L.D F1, 0(R1) // Get w[i]
L.D F2, O(R2) // Get x[i]

MUL.D F1, F2, F1 // Multiply two numbers
S.D F1, 0(R1) // Store the result into w[i]

DADDUI R1, R1, \#-8 // Decrement R1
DADDUI R2, R2, \#-8 // Decrement R2
BNE R1, R3, Loop // Check if we've reached the end of the loop NOP

Source Code:
for (i=1000; i>0; i--) \{
$\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{w}[\mathrm{i}]$;
\}
Assembly Code:
Loop:
L.D F1, 0(R1) // Get w[i]
L.D F2, 0(R2) // Get x[i]

MUL.D F1, F2, F1 // Multiply two numbers
S.D F1, $0(R 1) / /$ Store the result into $w[i]$

DADDUI R1, R1, \#-8 // Decrement R1
DADDUI R2, R2, \#-8 // Decrement R2
BNE R1, R3, Loop // Check if we've reached the end of the loop NOP

## Problem 3



Assembly code

- How many unrolls does it take to avoid stalls in the superscalar pipeline?
for (i=1000; i>0; i--)

| Loop: | L.D | F0, O(R1) | ; F0 = array element |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | MUL.D | F4, F0, F2 | ; multiply scalar |
|  | S.D | F4, O(R2) | ; store result |
|  | DADDUI R1, R1,\#-8 | ; decrement address pointer |  |
|  | DADDUI R2, R2,\#-8 | ; decrement address pointer |  |
|  | BNE | R1, R3, Loop | ; branch if R1 != R3 |
|  | NOP |  |  |

- How many unrolls does it take to avoid stalls in the superscalar pipeline?

LD
LD
LD MUL
LD MUL
LD MUL
LD MUL
LD MUL
7 unrolls. Could also make do with 5 if we moved up the DADDUIs.

SD MUL

## Problem 2

- What is the storage requirement for a tournament predictor that uses the following structures:
- a "selector" that has 4 K entries and 2-bit counters
- a "global" predictor that XORs 14 bits of branch PC with 14 bits of global history and uses 3-bit counters
- a "local" predictor that uses an 8-bit index into L1, and produces a 12-bit index into L2 by XOR-ing branch PC and local history. The L2 uses 2-bit counters.


## Problem 2

- What is the storage requirement for a tournament predictor that uses the following structures:
- a "selector" that has 4 K entries and 2-bit counters
- a "global" predictor that XORs 14 bits of branch PC with 14 bits of global history and uses 3-bit counters
- a "local" predictor that uses an 8-bit index into L1, and produces a 12-bit index into L2 by XOR-ing branch PC and local history. The L2 uses 2-bit counters.

Selector $=4 \mathrm{~K} * 2 \mathrm{~b}=8 \mathrm{~Kb}$
Global $=3 b{ }^{*} 2^{\wedge} 14=48 \mathrm{~Kb}$
Local $=\left(12 \mathrm{~b} * 2^{\wedge} 8\right)+\left(2 \mathrm{~b} * 2^{\wedge} 12\right)=3 \mathrm{~Kb}+8 \mathrm{~Kb}=11 \mathrm{~Kb}$
Total $=67 \mathrm{~Kb}$

## Problem 3

- For the code snippet below, estimate the steady-state bpred accuracies for the default PC+4 prediction, the 1-bit bimodal, 2-bit bimodal, global, and local predictors. Assume that the global/local preds use 5-bit histories. do \{
for ( $\mathrm{i}=0$; $\mathrm{i}<4$; $\mathrm{i}++$ ) \{
increment something
\}
for ( $\mathrm{j}=0$; $\mathrm{j}<8$; $\mathrm{j}++$ ) \{
increment something
\}
k++;
\} while (k < some large number)


## Problem 3

- For the code snippet below, estimate the steady-state bpred accuracies for the default PC+4 prediction, the 1-bit bimodal, 2-bit bimodal, global, and local predictors. Assume that the global/local preds use 5-bit histories. do \{
for ( $\mathrm{i}=0$; $\mathrm{i}<4$; $\mathrm{i}++$ ) \{
increment something
\}
for ( $\mathrm{j}=0$; $\mathrm{j}<8$; $\mathrm{j}++$ ) \{
increment something
\}
k++;
\} while (k < some large number)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { PC+4: } 2 / 13=15 \% \\
\text { 1b Bim: }(2+6+1) /(4+8+1) \\
=9 / 13=69 \% \\
\text { 2b Bim: }(3+7+1) / 13 \\
=11 / 13=85 \% \\
\text { Global: }(4+7+1) / 13 \\
=12 / 13=92 \% \\
\text { Local: }(4+7+1) / 13 \\
=12 / 13=92 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

