Lecture 19: Coherence and Synchronization

• Topics: synchronization primitives (Sections 5.4-5.5)
Caching Locks

- Spin lock: to acquire a lock, a process may enter an infinite loop that keeps attempting a read-modify till it succeeds.

- If the lock is in memory, there is heavy bus traffic → other processes make little forward progress.

- Locks can be cached:
  - Cache coherence ensures that a lock update is seen by other processors.
  - The process that acquires the lock in exclusive state gets to update the lock first.
  - Spin on a local copy – the external bus sees little traffic.
Coherence Traffic for a Lock

• If every process spins on an exchange, every exchange instruction will attempt a write \(\rightarrow\) many invalidates and the locked value keeps changing ownership.

• Hence, each process keeps reading the lock value – a read does not generate coherence traffic and every process spins on its locally cached copy.

• When the lock owner releases the lock by writing a 0, other copies are invalidated, each spinning process generates a read miss, acquires a new copy, sees the 0, attempts an exchange (requires acquiring the block in exclusive state so the write can happen), first process to acquire the block in exclusive state acquires the lock, others keep spinning.
Test-and-Test-and-Set

- lock:  
  test register, location
  bnz register, lock
  t&s register, location
  bnz register, lock
  CS
  st location, #0
Load-Linked and Store Conditional

• LL-SC is an implementation of atomic read-modify-write with very high flexibility

• LL: read a value and update a table indicating you have read this address, then perform any amount of computation

• SC: attempt to store a result into the same memory location, the store will succeed only if the table indicates that no other process attempted a store since the local LL (success only if the operation was “effectively” atomic)

• SC implementations do not generate bus traffic if the SC fails – hence, more efficient than test&test&set
Spin Lock with Low Coherence Traffic

lockit: LL R2, 0(R1) ; load linked, generates no coherence traffic
BNEZ R2, lockit ; not available, keep spinning
DADDUI R2, R0, #1 ; put value 1 in R2
SC R2, 0(R1) ; store-conditional succeeds if no one
 ; updated the lock since the last LL
BEQZ R2, lockit ; confirm that SC succeeded, else keep trying

• If there are i processes waiting for the lock, how many bus transactions happen?
Spin Lock with Low Coherence Traffic

lockit:    LL         R2, 0(R1)    ; load linked, generates no coherence traffic
BNEZ    R2, lockit     ; not available, keep spinning
DADDUI R2, R0, #1 ; put value 1 in R2
SC         R2, 0(R1)   ; store-conditional succeeds if no one
               ; updated the lock since the last LL
BEQZ    R2, lockit    ; confirm that SC succeeded, else keep trying

• If there are i processes waiting for the lock, how many
  bus transactions happen?
    1 write by the releaser + i read-miss requests +
    i responses + 1 write by acquirer + 0 (i-1 failed SCs) +
    i-1 read-miss requests + i-1 responses
Further Reducing Bandwidth Needs

- Ticket lock: every arriving process atomically picks up a ticket and increments the ticket counter (with an LL-SC), the process then keeps checking the now-serving variable to see if its turn has arrived, after finishing its turn it increments the now-serving variable

- Array-Based lock: instead of using a “now-serving” variable, use a “now-serving” array and each process waits on a different variable – fair, low latency, low bandwidth, high scalability, but higher storage

- Queueing locks: the directory controller keeps track of the order in which requests arrived – when the lock is available, it is passed to the next in line (only one process sees the invalidate and update)
Lock Vs. Optimistic Concurrency

lockit: \texttt{LL R2, 0(R1)}
\texttt{BNEZ R2, lockit}
\texttt{DADDUI R2, R0, #1}
\texttt{SC R2, 0(R1)}
\texttt{BEQZ R2, lockit}
\texttt{Critical Section}
\texttt{ST 0(R1), #0}

LL-SC is being used to figure out if we were able to acquire the lock without anyone interfering – we then enter the critical section

tryagain: \texttt{LL R2, 0(R1)}
\texttt{DADDUI R2, R2, R3}
\texttt{SC R2, 0(R1)}
\texttt{BEQZ R2, tryagain}

If the critical section only involves one memory location, the critical section can be captured within the LL-SC – instead of spinning on the lock acquire, you may now be spinning trying to atomically execute the CS
Barriers

• Barriers are synchronization primitives that ensure that some processes do not outrun others – if a process reaches a barrier, it has to wait until every process reaches the barrier.

• When a process reaches a barrier, it acquires a lock and increments a counter that tracks the number of processes that have reached the barrier – it then spins on a value that gets set by the last arriving process.

• Must also make sure that every process leaves the spinning state before one of the processes reaches the next barrier.
Barrier Implementation

LOCK(bar.lock);
if (bar.counter == 0)
  bar.flag = 0;
mycount = bar.counter++;
UNLOCK(bar.lock);
if (mycount == p) {
  bar.counter = 0;
  bar.flag = 1;
}
else
  while (bar.flag == 0) { };

local_sense = !(local_sense);
LOCK(bar.lock);
mycount = bar.counter++;
UNLOCK(bar.lock);
if (mycount == p) {
    bar.counter = 0;
    bar.flag = local_sense;
} else {
    while (bar.flag != local_sense) { }
}
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