L8: Writing Correct Programs, cont. and Control Flow

Terminology

- From a Control Flow perspective
- For efficiency,
  - try to ensure that all the cores are fully used
  - this implies they all do the same thing and no one is idle

How thread blocks are partitioned

- Thread blocks are partitioned into warps
  - Thread IDs within a warp are consecutive and increasing
  - Warp 0 starts with Thread ID 0
- Partitioning is always the same
  - Thus you can use this knowledge in control flow
  - However, the exact size of warps may change from generation to generation
- However, DO NOT rely on any ordering between warps
  - If there are any dependences between threads, you must __syncthreads() to get correct results
First Level of Defense: Avoid Control Flow

- Clever example from MPM
  
  \[ m_i = \sum S_i m_i + 1.0 \times 10^{-10} \] 

  \[ v_i = \sum S_i m_i v_i \] 

- No need to test for divide by 0 error, and slight delta does not impact results

Control Flow Instructions

- A common case: avoid divergence when branch condition is a function of thread ID.
  - Example with divergence:
    - If (threadIdx.x > 2) { }
    - This creates two different control paths for threads in a block.
    - Branch granularity < warp size; threads 0 and 1 follow different path than the rest of the threads in the first warp.
  - Example without divergence:
    - If (threadIdx.x / WARP_SIZE > 2) { }
    - Also creates two different control paths for threads in a block.
    - Branch granularity is a whole multiple of warp size; all threads in any given warp follow the same path.

Parallel Reduction Example (related to "count 6")

- Assume an in-place reduction using shared memory.
  - The original vector is in device global memory.
  - The shared memory is used to hold a partial sum vector.
  - Each iteration brings the partial sum vector closer to the final sum.
  - The final solution will be in element 0.

How to Accumulate Result in Shared Memory

In original implementation (Lecture 4), we collected per-thread results into \( d_\text{out}[\text{threadIdx.x}] \).

In updated implementation (Lecture 7), we collected per-block results into \( d_\text{out}[0] \) for a single block, thus serializing the accumulation computation on the GPU.

Suppose we want to exploit some parallelism in this accumulation part, which will be particularly important to performance as we scale the number of threads.

A common idiom for reduction computations is to use a tree-structured results-gathering phase, where independent threads collect their results in parallel. Assume \( \text{SIZE}=16 \) and \( \text{BLOCKSIZE}\) elements computed per thread=4.
Recall: Serialized Gathering of Results on GPU for "Count 6"

```c
__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int *d_out) {
    d_out[threadIdx.x] = 0;
    for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
        int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE + threadIdx.x];
        d_out[threadIdx.x] += compare(val, 6);
    }
}
```

```c
__global__ void compute(int *d_in, int *d_out, int *d_sum) {
    d_out[threadIdx.x] = 0;
    for (i=0; i<SIZE/BLOCKSIZE; i++) {
        int val = d_in[i*BLOCKSIZE + threadIdx.x];
        d_out[threadIdx.x] += compare(val, 6);
    }
}
```

```
__syncthreads();
if (threadIdx.x == 0) {
    for 0..BLOCKSIZE-1
        *d_sum += d_out[i];
}
```

Tree-Structured Computation

Tree-structured results-gathering phase, where independent threads collect their results in parallel.

Assume SIZE=16 and BLOCKSIZE=4 elements computed per thread).

```
out[0] += out[1]
```

A possible implementation for just the reduction

```c
unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
for (unsigned int stride = 1; stride < blockDim.x; stride *= 2) {
    __syncthreads();
    if (t % (2*stride) == 0) {
        d_out[t] += d_out[t+stride];
    }
}
```

Vector Reduction with Branch Divergence
Some Observations
• In each iteration, two control flow paths will be sequentially traversed for each warp
  – Threads that perform addition and threads that do not
  – Threads that do not perform addition may cost extra cycles depending on the implementation of divergence
• No more than half of threads will be executing at any time
  – All odd index threads are disabled right from the beginning!
  – On average, less than ½ of the threads will be activated for all warps over time.
  – After the 5th iteration, entire warps in each block will be disabled, poor resource utilization but no divergence.
  • This can go on for a while, up to 4 more iterations ($512/32=16=2^4$), where each iteration only has one thread activated until all warps retire.

A better implementation

```c
unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
for (unsigned int stride = blockDim.x >> 1;
    stride > 1; stride >>= 1)
{
    __syncthreads();
    if (t < stride)
        d_out[t] += d_out[t+stride];
}
```

What’s Wrong?
```
unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
for (unsigned int stride = 1;
    stride < blockDim.x; stride *= 2)
{
    __syncthreads();
    if (t % (2*stride) == 0)
        d_out[t] += d_out[t+stride];
}
```

BAD: Divergence due to interleaved branch decisions

No Divergence until < 16 sub-sums

Thread 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAD
A shared memory implementation

- Assume we have already loaded array into __shared__ float partialSum[];

```c
unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
for (unsigned int stride = blockDim.x >> 1;
    stride >= 1; stride >>= 1)
{
    __syncthreads();
    if (t < stride)
        partialSum[t] += partialSum[t+stride];
}
```

Some Observations About the New Implementation

- Only the last 5 iterations will have divergence
- Entire warps will be shut down as iterations progress
  - For a 512-thread block, 4 iterations to shut down all but one warp in each block
  - Better resource utilization, will likely retire warps and thus blocks faster
- Recall, no bank conflicts either

Performance for 4M element reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (2^16 ints)</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
<th>Step Speedup</th>
<th>Cumulative Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kernel 1: Reduction using stride-aligned branching</td>
<td>8.054 ms</td>
<td>2.983 GB/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernel 2: Reduction using stride-aligned addressing</td>
<td>1.722 ms</td>
<td>9.741 GB/s</td>
<td>2.01x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Predicated Execution Concept

- A way to eliminate (to some extent) branching
- All instructions are fetched and executed

```
<p1> LDR r1, r2, 0
```

- If p1 is TRUE, instruction executes normally
- If p1 is FALSE, instruction treated as NOP
Predication Example

if (x == 10)
    c = c + 1;

LDR r5, X
p1 <- r5 eq 10
<p1> LDR r1 <- C
<p1> ADD r1, r1, 1
<p1> STR r1 -> C

Predication can be very helpful for if-else

Instruction Predication in G80

- Comparison instructions set condition codes (CC)
- Instructions can be predicated to write results only when CC meets criterion (CC = 0, CC = 0, etc.)
- Compiler tries to predict if a branch condition is likely to produce many divergent warps
  - If guaranteed not to diverge: only predicates if < 4 instructions
  - If not guaranteed: only predicates if < 7 instructions
- May replace branches with instruction predication
- All predicated instructions take execution cycles
  - Those with false conditions don't write their output
    - Or invoke memory loads and stores
  - Saves branch instructions, so can be cheaper than serializing divergent paths (for small # instructions)

If-else example

p1, p2 <- r5 eq 10
<p1> inst 1 from B
<p1> inst 2 from B
<p1> :  
<p2> inst 1 from C
<p2> inst 2 from C
:p1>

The cost is extra instructions will be issued each time the code is executed. However, there is no branch divergence.
Warp Vote Functions
(Compute Capability > 1.2)

- Can test whether condition on all threads in a warp evaluates to same value

  ```
  int __all(int predicate):
  evaluates predicate for all threads of a warp and
  returns non-zero iff predicate evaluates to non-zero
  for all of them.
  ```

- Using Warp Vote Functions

  - Can tailor code for when none/all take a branch.
  - Eliminate overhead of branching and predication.
  - Particularly useful for codes where most threads will be the same
    - Example 1: looking for something unusual in image data
    - Example 2: dealing with boundary conditions

Summary of Lecture

- Impact of control flow on performance
  - Due to SIMD execution model for threads
- Execution model/code generated
  - Stall based on CC value (for long instr sequences)
  - Predicated code (for short instr sequences)
- Strategies for avoiding control flow
  - Eliminate divide by zero test (MPM)
  - Warp vote function
- Group together similar control flow paths into warps
  - Example: "tree" reduction