
Aquarium

Our zoo was so successful, let's start an aquarium




For a fish, we only care about its weight, so for two fish:

```
; An aquarium is
; (make-aq num num)
(define-struct aq (first second))
```

Tragedy Strikes the Aquarium

Poor blue fish... now we have only one



Worse, we have to re-write all our functions...

```
; An aquarium is
; (make-aq num)
(define-struct aq (first))
```

Aquarium Template

```
; An aquarium is
; (make-aq num num)

Generic template:
; func-for-aq : aquarium -> ...
; (define (func-for-aq a)
; ... (aq-first a) ... (aq-second a) ...)

; aq-weight : aquarium -> num
(define (aq-weight a)
    (+ (aq-first a) (aq-second a)))

(aq-weight (make-aq 7 8)) "should be" 15
```

And so on, for many other simple aquarium functions...

Aquarium Template, Revised

```
; An aquarium is
; (make-aq num)

; func-for-aq : aquarium -> ...
; (define (func-for-aq a)
; ... (aq-first a) ...)


; aq-weight : aquarium -> num
(define (aq-weight a)
   (aq-first a))

(aq-weight (make-aq 7)) "should be" 7
```

And so on, for all of the aquarium functions...

The Aquarium Expands

Hooray, we have two new fish!



Unfortunately, we have to re-re-write all our functions...

```
; An aquarium is
; (make-aq num num num)
(define-struct aq (first second third))
```

Structs as Boxes

Pictorially,

- define-struct lets us define a new kind of box
- The box can have as many compartments as we want, but we have to pick how many, once and for all

A Flexible Aquarium Representation

Our data choice isn't working


- An aquarium isn't just 1 fish, 2 fish, or 100 fish it's a collection containing an arbitrary number of fish
- No data definition with just 1, 2, or 100 numbers will work

To represent an aquarium, we need a *list* of numbers

We don't need anything new in the language, just a new idea

Boxes Stretch

The boxes stretch to fit any one thing in each slot:



Even other boxes:




Still, the number of slots is fixed

Packing Boxes

Suppose that

- You have four things to pack as one
- You only have 2-slot boxes
- Every slot must contain exactly one thing


How can you create a single package?



Packing Boxes


This isn't good enough






because it's still two boxes...

But this works!




Packing Boxes

And here's 8 fish:



And here's 16 fish!



But what if we just add 1 fish, instead of doubling the fish?


But what if we have 0 fish?

General Strategy for Packing Boxes

Here's a general strategy:

- For 0 fish, use empty
- If you have a package and a new fish, put them together

To combine many fish, start with empty and add fish one at a time



General Strategy for a List of Numbers

To represent the aquarium as a list of numbers, use the same idea:

- For 0 fish, use empty
- If you have a list and a number, put them together with make-bigger-list

```
empty

(make-bigger-list 10 empty)

(make-bigger-list 5 (make-bigger-list 10 empty))

(make-bigger-list 7 (make-bigger-list 5 (make-bigger-list 10 empty)))
```

List of Numbers

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (make-bigger-list num list-of-num)
(define-struct bigger-list (first rest))
```

List of Numbers

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (make-bigger-list num list-of-num)
(define-struct bigger-list (first rest))

Generic template:
; func-for-lon : list-of-num -> ...
(define (func-for-lon 1)
...)
```

List of Numbers

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (make-bigger-list num list-of-num)
(define-struct bigger-list (first rest))

Generic template:
; func-for-lon : list-of-num -> ...
(define (func-for-lon 1)
  (cond
     [(empty? 1) ...]
     [(bigger-list? 1) ...]))
```

List of Numbers

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (make-bigger-list num list-of-num)
(define-struct bigger-list (first rest))

Generic template:
; func-for-lon : list-of-num -> ...
(define (func-for-lon 1)
   (cond
      [(empty? 1) ...]
      [(bigger-list? 1)
      ... (bigger-list-first 1)
      ... (bigger-list-rest 1)
      ...])
```

List of Numbers

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (make-bigger-list num list-of-num)
(define-struct bigger-list (first rest))

Generic template:
; func-for-lon : list-of-num -> ...
(define (func-for-lon 1)
  (cond
    [(empty? 1) ...]
    [(bigger-list? 1)
    ... (bigger-list-first 1)
    ... (bigger-list-rest 1)
    ... (bigger-list-rest 1)
```

List of Numbers

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (make-bigger-list num list-of-num)
(define-struct bigger-list (first rest))

Generic template:
; func-for-lon : list-of-num -> ...
(define (func-for-lon 1)
  (cond
      [(empty? 1) ...]
      [(bigger-list? 1)
      ... (bigger-list-first 1)
      ... (func-for-lon (bigger-list-rest 1))
      ...]))
```

Aquarium Weight

```
; aq-weight : list-of-num -> num
; Sums the fish weights in 1
(define (aq-weight 1)
...)
```

Aquarium Weight

```
; aq-weight : list-of-num -> num
; Sums the fish weights in 1
(define (aq-weight 1)
...)

(aq-weight empty) "should be" 0
```

Aquarium Weight

```
; aq-weight : list-of-num -> num
; Sums the fish weights in 1
(define (aq-weight 1)
...)

(aq-weight empty) "should be" 0
(aq-weight (make-bigger-list 2 empty))
```

"should be" 2

Aquarium Weight

```
; aq-weight : list-of-num -> num
; Sums the fish weights in 1
(define (aq-weight 1)
    ...)

(aq-weight empty) "should be" 0
(aq-weight (make-bigger-list 2 empty))
"should be" 2

(aq-weight (make-bigger-list 5 (make-bigger-list 2 empty)))
"should be" 7
```

Aquarium Weight

```
; aq-weight : list-of-num -> num
; Sums the fish weights in 1
(define (aq-weight 1)
   (cond
     [(empty? 1) ...]
     [(bigger-list? 1)
         ... (bigger-list-first 1)
         ... (aq-weight (bigger-list-rest 1))
         ...]))

(aq-weight empty) "should be" 0
(aq-weight (make-bigger-list 2 empty))
"should be" 2

(aq-weight (make-bigger-list 5 (make-bigger-list 2 empty)))
"should be" 7
```

Aquarium Weight

Aquarium Weight

Shortcuts

The name make-bigger-list is awfully long

DrScheme has built-in shorter versions

```
	ext{make-bigger-list} \Rightarrow 	ext{cons}
	ext{bigger-list-first} \Rightarrow 	ext{first}
	ext{bigger-list-rest} \Rightarrow 	ext{rest}
	ext{bigger-list?} \Rightarrow 	ext{cons?}
	ext{(first (cons 1 empty))} \rightarrow 	ext{1}
	ext{(rest (cons 1 empty))} \rightarrow 	ext{empty}
	ext{(cons? empty)} \rightarrow 	ext{false}
```

Lists using the Shortcuts

Design Recipe for Lists

Design recipe changes for today:

None

Granted, the self-reference was slightly novel...

```
; A list-of-num is either
; - empty
; - (cons num list-of-num)
```

Recursion

A self-reference in a data definition leads to a *recursive* function — one that calls itself

Recursion is rumored to be a difficult topic...

... but now you know better