The Graph System War

Coarse-grained parallelism vs. fine-grained parallelism

- Partitioned immutable state
- Embarrassingly parallelism
- Suffers from:
  - Straggler
  - Data shuffling/copy overheads
  - No intra-iteration optimizations

- Shared mutable state
- More flexible implementation
- Faster communication
- Could be asymptotically better
- Modularized system design
- Potential problem:
  - Data consistency (see below)

Asynchronous vs. synchronous

- No consistency control
- Data integrity at risk
- May lead to:
  - Slower convergence
  - Incorrect results
  - Corrupted data

- Consistent data
- Performance depends on consistency controller efficiency

Synchronous Parallel Processing for Fine-Grained Parallelism

System setting:
- In-memory graph analytics
- Static and exclusively owned data
- Target: as fast as possible

Our system components:
- Shared mutable state
- Controlled data consistency
- User-implemented UDF
- Customizable job scheduler

User API:
- A user-defined function
- Read and write shared state
- Add task to job queue
- Optional barrier

Execution Workflow:
- Get task from job queue
- Execute it with consistency guarantee (serializable)
- Synchronous at barrier if demanded

- Can simulate asynchronous, BSP and prioritized scheduling

System Features:
- Strong semantics
  - Equivalent to serial execution
  - No asynchronous, no nondeterministic
  - Always-correct results

- Flexible model
  - Can simulate asynchronous, BSP and prioritized scheduling by customizing schedulers and consistence controller

- Fast information diffusion
  - Enable intra-iteration optimization
  - Support asymptotically better algorithm (for example, stochastic gradient descent vs. gradient descent)

HSync: A Hybrid Scheduler

Why existing ones are not working?
- Graph degree distribution is highly skewed (see right top)
- The transaction conflict rate is skewed (see right bottom)
- Traditional schedulers are optimized for particular homogeneous workload
  - Locking-based high conflict rate
  - Optimistic for low conflict rate
  - Multi-version for read-only
- None of them works well for heterogeneous conflict rate workload

Our hybrid scheduler:
- Combines the advantages of two-phase lock (2PL) and optimistic scheduler (OCC)
- Routes transactions to an appropriate one based on the degrees of the vertices:
  - Large degree vertex → 2PL
  - Small degree vertex → OCC
- Ensures the serializability by:
  - OCC requests 2PL’s locks at verification step
  - If fail, abort
- Check our paper for details and proofs

Experimental Studies

First, we measured the throughput of schedulers. Our HSync outperforms others significantly.

To verify the advantage of fine grained parallelism using synchronous parallel processing (with HSync) against asynchronous parallel and BSP processing for graph analytics, we tested PageRank, single source shortest path (SSSP), graph coloring, and alternative least squares (ALS) for matrix factorization.

Graph coloring. Left for twitter-mpi dataset and right for uk-2007-05 dataset. The smaller the better. Time in (second).

ALS. Left for netflix dataset and right for Yahoo! dataset. The smaller the better. Time in (second).

PageRank. Left for twitter-mpi dataset and right for uk-2007-05 dataset. The smaller the better. Time in (second).

Sssp. Left for twitter-mpi dataset and right for uk-2007-05 dataset. The larger the better.