

Assignment 5 - Regression*

Due: Monday, April 2

Late assignments accepted (with full credit) until Wednesday, April 4

Turn in a hard copy at the start of class

Overview

In this assignment you will explore regression techniques on high-dimensional data.

You will use a few data sets for this assignment:

- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/M.dat>
- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/X.dat>
- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/Y.dat>

This data sets are in matrix format and can be loaded into MATLAB or OCTAVE. By calling

`load filename` (for instance `load M.dat`)

it will put in memory the the data in the file, for instance in the above example the matrix M . You can then display this matrix by typing

`M`

As usual, it is highly recommended that you use LaTeX for this assignment. If you do not, you may lose points if your assignment is difficult to read or hard to follow. Find a sample form in this directory: <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/latex/>

1 Singular Value Decomposition (4 points)

First we will first computer the SVD of the matrix M we have loaded

`[U,S,V] = svd(M)`

Then take the top k columns components of M for values of $k = 1$ through $k = 10$ using

`Uk = U(:, 1:k)`

`Sk = S(1:k, 1:k)`

`Vk = V(:, 1:k)`

`Mk = Uk*Sk*Vk'`

Compute and report the L_2 norm of the difference between M and Mk for each value of k using `norm(M-Mk, 2)`

Find the value k so that the L_2 norm of $M-Mk$ is 10% that of M ; k may be larger than 10.

2 Column Sampling (8 points)

Select t (for t from 1 to 30) columns $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{30}\}$ using the two types of column sampling from the matrix data set M .

Type 1: For each column j $M(:, j)$ calculate the squared norm $s_j = \text{norm}(M(:, j))^2$, and select t columns proportional to the values s_j .

Type 2: Calculate the SVD of M : $[U, S, V] = \text{svd}(M)$. For each column j calculate the squared norm projected onto the column space of the top k -singular vectors: $w_j = \text{norm}(U_k * U_k' * M(:, j))^2$, and select t columns proportional to the values w_j . (Use $k = 5$.)

We now need to measure how accurate a subspace these columns represent. Construct a matrix with the sampled columns $C = [c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3 \ \dots \ c_{30}]$. Then create a projection matrix onto the column space of C as $P = C * \text{inverse}(C' * C) * C'$. Finally calculate the L_2 norm of the difference between M and M projected on to the column space of C as $\text{norm}(M - P * M, 2)$.

If in the `inverse` returns NaN, then try `pinv`.

A (4 points): Report this error for each choice of t . Since this is a randomized algorithm, the values may vary. You should repeat this experiment several times to get good representative values. Also the nice plotting functions of MATLAB/OCTAVE may be useful as a replacement for presenting this data instead of reporting a series of numbers.

B (2 points): For both types of column sampling, estimate how large t need to be to reach the same error as the SVD approach with $k = 5$.

C (2 points): Using the values of t found in part **B**, for both types of column sampling, estimate the number of non-zero entries in these t columns sampled. Compare this value to the number of non-zero entries in U_5 constructed using the SVD.

3 Linear Regression (4 points)

We will find coefficients A to estimate $X * A = Y$. We will compare two approaches *least squares* and *ridge regression*.

Least Squares: Set $A = \text{inverse}(X' * X) * X' * Y$

Ridge Regression: Set $A_s = \text{inverse}(X' * X + s * \text{eye}(6)) * X' * Y$

A (2 points): Solve for the coefficients A (or A_s) using Least Squares and Ridge Regression with $s = \{0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0\}$. For each set of coefficients, report the error in the estimate \hat{Y} of Y as $\text{norm}(Y - X * A, 2)$.

B (2 points): Create three row- subsets of X and Y

- $X_1 = X(1:8, :)$ and $Y_1 = Y(1:8)$
- $X_2 = X(3:10, :)$ and $Y_2 = Y(3:10)$
- $X_3 = [X(1:4, :); X(7:10, :)]$ and $Y_3 = [Y(1:4); Y(7:10)]$

Repeat the above procedure on these subsets and *cross-validate* the solution on the remainder of X and Y . Specifically, learn the coefficients A using, say, X_1 and Y_1 and then measure $\text{norm}(Y(9:10) - X(9:10, :) * A, 2)$.

Which approach works best (averaging the results from the three subsets): Least Squares, or for which value of s using Ridge Regression?

4 BONUS) (5 points)

The Lasso Regression technique takes as input a matrix X and an vector Y and for some parameter t finds the coefficient vector A that minimizes

$$\|Y - XA\|_2 + t\|A\|_1.$$

The optimal values of A can be found as follows. Start with $t = 0$ and for all $a_j \in A$ with $a_j = 0$. It then finds the column of X , corresponding with a coefficient $a \in A$, that has the most correlation with Y . Then as we increase t , it allows the associated coefficient a to increase. It then determines certain *break points* in the value t , where it becomes beneficial to make other coefficients non-zero, placing them in the *active set* of non-zero coefficients. Between each pair of consecutive break points, only coefficients in the *active set* change. Show that each coefficient changes *linearly* with respect to t between any pair of break points.