Opening Thought

Why must functions always have a name?

Anonymous Functions

From now on, functions can be anonymous

• Old code

(define (eval-rands rands env fenv) (let ([eval-one (lambda (rand) (eval-expression rand env fenv))]) (map eval-one rands)))

• New code

(define (eval-rands rands env fenv) (map (lambda (rand) (eval-expression rand env fenv)) rands))

Lambda as an Expression

To suport anonymous functions, we must first

- allow (lambda (<id>*) <expr>) as an expression
- change the application grammar to (<expr> <expr>*)

<expr> ::= <num>
::= <id>
::= <id>
::= (+ <expr> <expr>)
::= (let ([<id> <expr>]*) <expr>)
::= (<expr> <expr>*)
::= (lambda (<id>*) <expr>)

<val> ::= <num>
::= (lambda (<id>*) <expr>)

Evaluation with Lambda Expressions

Now we need only one kind of let form

```
(let ([identity (lambda (x) x)])
(identity 5))
```

 \rightarrow

 \rightarrow

((lambda (x) x) 5) usual substitution with values

```
5 new procedure application rule...
```

New Application Rule

 $\dots ((lambda (<id>_1...<id>_k) <expr>_a) <val>_1...<val>_k) \dots$ $\rightarrow \\ \dots <expr>_b \dots$

where $\langle expr \rangle_b$ is $\langle expr \rangle_a$ with free $\langle id \rangle_i$ replaced by $\langle val \rangle_i$

 $((\text{lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}) 5) \rightarrow 5$

New Application Rule

 $\dots ((lambda (<id>_1...<id>_k) <expr>_a) <val>_1...<val>_k) \dots$ $\rightarrow \\ \dots <expr>_b \dots$

where $\langle expr \rangle_b$ is $\langle expr \rangle_a$ with free $\langle id \rangle_i$ replaced by $\langle val \rangle_i$

 $((\textbf{lambda} (\textbf{x y}) (+\textbf{x y})) 2 3) \rightarrow (+ 2 3) \rightarrow 5$

Using Anonymous Functions

Using anonymous functions, we can easily feed a list of fish:

```
;; feed all fish 1 lb of food:
(map (lambda (x) (+ x 1)) '(4 5 8))
= '(5 6 9)
```

```
;; feed all fish 2 lbs of food:
(map (lambda (x) (+ x 2)) '(5 6 9))
= '(7 8 11)
```

Using Anonymous Functions

Using anonymous functions, we can easily feed a list of fish:

```
;; feed all fish 1 lb of food:
(map (lambda (x) (+ x 1)) '(4 5 8))
= '(5 6 9)
```

```
;; feed all fish 2 lbs of food:
(map (lambda (x) (+ x 2)) '(5 6 9))
= '(7 8 11)
```

Avoid cut-and-paste of the lambda expression?

Functions that Return Functions

```
;; make-feeder : <num> \rightarrow (<num> \rightarrow <num>)
(define (make-feeder amt)
(lambda (x) (+ x amt)))
```

```
;; feed all fish 1 lb of food:
(map (make-feeder 1) '(4 5 8))
= '(5 6 9)
```

```
;; feed all fish 2 lbs of food:
(map (make-feeder 2) '(5 6 9))
= '(7 8 11)
```

Another Example with Procedures as Values

```
(let ([mk-add (lambda (x) (lambda (y) (+ x y)))])
  (let ([add5 (mk-add 5)])
    (add5 7)))
\rightarrow
(let ([add5 ((lambda (x) (lambda (y) (+ x y))) 5)])
  (add5 7))
\rightarrow
(let ([add5 (lambda (y) (+ 5 y))])
  (add5 7))
\rightarrow
((lambda (y) (+ 5 y)) 7)
\rightarrow
(+57) \rightarrow 12
```

Teminology: First-Order and Higher-Order

- The procedures supported by top-level definitions are *first-order* procedures
 - A procedure cannot consume or produce a procedure
 - Methods in Java and procedures in Fortran are first-order
 - Functions C are first-order, but function pointers are values

Teminology: First-Order and Higher-Order

- The procedures supported by **lambda** are *higher-order* procedures
 - A procedure can return a procedure that returns a procedure that consumes a procedure that returns a procedure...
 - Procedures in Scheme are higher-order

Concrete extensions:

```
<prog> ::= <expr>
<expr> ::= proc (<id>*(.)) <expr>
::= (<expr> <expr>*)
```

let identity = proc(x) xin (identity 5) $\rightarrow \rightarrow 5$

Concrete extensions:

```
let sum = proc(x, y, z) +(x, +(y, z))
in (sum 10 20 30)
\rightarrow \rightarrow 60
```

Concrete extensions:

<prog> ::= <expr> <expr> ::= proc (<id>*(.)) <expr> ::= (<expr> <expr>*)

 $(\mathbf{proc}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} 5)$ $\rightarrow \rightarrow 5$

Concrete extensions:

```
<prog> ::= <expr>
<expr> ::= proc (<id>*(.)) <expr>
::= (<expr> <expr>*)
```

```
let mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) + (x, y)
in let add5 = (mkadd 5)
in let x = 10
in (add5 6)
```

 $\rightarrow \rightarrow 11$

Expr Env
let x = +(2, 3)
in x
{}

• This trace shows the expression and environment arguments to eval-expresson

Expr Env let x = +(2, 3) { } in x { } +(2, 3) { }

• Arrows show nested recursive calls

Expr Env
let x = +(2, 3)
in x
{}

Expr Env
let x = +(2, 3)
in x

{}

- Eventually a value is reached for each recursive call
- To continue with let, extend the environment and evaluate the body

Expr Env
x { x = 5 }

Drop the context for the recursive body evaluation, since it isn't needed

Expr Env 5 { **x** = 5 }

Expr Env
let x = 5
in let x = 6 { }
in x

• Another example: nested let

Expr Env
let x = 5
in let x = 6 { }
in x

Expr Env
let x = 5
in let x = 6 { }
in x

Expr Env let x = 6in x $\{x = 5\}$

Expr Env let $\mathbf{x} = 6$ in \mathbf{x} { $\mathbf{x} = 5$ } $\mathbf{x} = 5$ }

Expr Env let $\mathbf{x} = 6$ in \mathbf{x} { $\mathbf{x} = 5$ } $\mathbf{x} = 5$ }

• New value for **x** replaces the old one for the body

Expr Env
x { x = 6 }

Expr Env
6 { **x** = 6 }

Expr Env
let x = 5
in let y = let x = 6 in x { }
in x

• Another example: let nested in a different way

Expr Env
let x = 5
in let y = let x = 6 in x { }
in x

Expr Env
let x = 5
in let y = let x = 6 in x { }
in x

Expr Env let y = let x = 6 in xin x $\{x = 5\}$

Expr expr

Expr Expr expr
Expr Expr expr

Expr Env let y = let x = 6 in xin x $\{x = 5\}$ $\Rightarrow x \{x = 6\}$

Expr Expr $ext{Env}$ $ext{Env}$ $ext{Env}$ $ext{Env}$ $ext{X} = 6 \text{ in } x$ $ext{X} = 5 }$ $<math>ext{X} = 6$

Expr expr

• What environment is extended with **y** = 6?

Expr expr

• Answer: the original one for the **let** of **y**

Expr Env

x { x = 5, y = 6 }

Expr Env

5 { $\mathbf{x} = 5, \, \mathbf{y} = 6$ }

```
Expr
```

Env

```
let mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)
in let add5 = (mkadd 5) { }
in (add5 6)
```

```
ExprEnvlet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)in let add5 = (mkadd 5)in (add5 6)\rightarrow proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y){}
```

- Is a **proc** expression a value?
- A lambda was a value in Scheme... so let's say it's ok

this choice will turn out to be slightly wrong

```
Expr Env
Iet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)
in let add5 = (mkadd 5)
in (add5 6)
```



Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→ mkadd	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→ 5	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→ 5	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }

• To evaluate an application, extend the application's environment with a binding for the argument

this isn't quite right, either

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→proc (y) +(x , y)	<pre>{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) x = 5 }</pre>

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) }
→proc (y) +(x , y)	<pre>{ mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y) x = 5 }</pre>

- So the value for **add5** is also a procedure
- Extend the original environment for the let



- We can see where this is going... **x** has no value
- What went wrong?



 In Scheme, procedures as values worked because they had eager substitutions



- With lazy substitutions: combine a proc and an environment to get a value
- The combination is called a *closure*

```
Expr Env
Iet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)
in let add5 = (mkadd 5)
in (add5 6)
```

```
ExprEnvlet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)in let add5 = (mkadd 5)in (add5 6)\rightarrow proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y){}
```

```
Expr Env
Env
Env
Iet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)
in let add5 = (mkadd 5)
in (add5 6)
```

• Create a closure with the current environment to get a value

```
Expr Env
Iet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)
in let add5 = (mkadd 5)
in (add5 6)

<(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }>
```

• Alternate form: arguments, body, and environment

```
ExprEnvlet mkadd = proc(x) proc(y) +(x, y)in let add5 = (mkadd 5)in (add5 6)
```

```
\Rightarrow <(x), proc(y) + (x, y), \{ \} > \{ \}
```

• A closure is a value

```
Expr Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5)
in (add5 6)

Env
{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
```

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→mkadd	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
<(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }>	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
<(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }>	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→ 5	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→(mkadd 5)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
<(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }>	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→ 5	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }

• To evaluate an application, extend the *closure's* environment with a binding for the argument

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }
→proc (y) +(x, y)	{ x = 5 }



- Again, create a closure
- Note that the **x** binding is saved in the closure

Expr	Env
let add5 = (mkadd 5) in (add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> }

 $\Rightarrow < (\mathbf{y}), + (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \{ \mathbf{x} = 5 \} > \{ \mathbf{x} = 5 \}$

Expr Env
(add5 6)
$$\begin{cases} mkadd = <(x), proc(y) + (x, y), \{ \} > \\ add5 = <(y), + (x, y), \{ x = 5 \} > \} \end{cases}$$


Expr	Env
(add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }
<(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }>	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }

Expr	Env
(add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }
<(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }>	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }
	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }

Expr	Env
(add5 6)	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }
<(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }>	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }
→ 6	{ mkadd = <(x), proc(y) +(x, y), { }> add5 = <(y), +(x, y), { x = 5 }> }

• Extend the closure's environment { $\mathbf{x} = 5$ } with a binding for \mathbf{y}

Expr Env
+(x, y) { x = 5, y = 6 }

• This is clearly going to work



top purple arrow points to the current environment

purple in bottom area hilites the current expression

let x = 1 y = 2 in +(x, y)



top purple arrow points to the current environment

purple in bottom area hilites the current expression

let x = 1 y = 2 in +(x, y)



let x = 1 y = 2
in let f = proc (z) +(z, y)
in (f y)











let x = 1 y = 2
in let f = proc (z) +(z, y)
in (f y)

Procedure Expressions in the Book Language

Abstract extensions:

- <prog> ::= (a-program <expr>)
- <expr> ::= (proc-exp (list <id>*) <expr>)
 - ::= (app-exp <expr> (list <expr>*))
- <val> ::= <num>
 - ::= <proc>
- <proc> ::= (closure (list <id>*) <expr> <env>)