

# Today

1. Add top-level function defines to the Book language
  - not in the book

Before we implement local functions...

2. How to design better programs with local functions
  - also not in the book, but in *HtDP*

# Top-Level Procedure Definitions

Concrete syntax:

<code>&lt;prog&gt;</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>{ &lt;id&gt; &lt;funcdef&gt; } * in &lt;expr&gt;</code>
<code>&lt;funcdef&gt;</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>(&lt;id&gt;* ) = &lt;expr&gt;</code>
<code>&lt;expr&gt;</code>	<code>::=</code>	<code>(&lt;id&gt; &lt;expr&gt;*)</code>

**identity(x) = x**  
**in (identity 7)**

# Top-Level Procedure Definitions

Concrete syntax:

**<prog> ::= { <id> <funcdef> } \* in <expr>**  
**<funcdef> ::= (<id>\* ) = <expr>**  
**<expr> ::= (<id> <expr>\*)**

**fact(n) = if n then \*(n, (fact -(n, 1))) else 1**  
**identity(x) = x**  
**in (identity (fact 10))**

## Top-Level Procedure Definitions

## Abstract syntax:

```

<prog>      ::= (a-program
                  (list <id>*) (list <funcdef>*) <expr>)
<funcdef>   ::= (a-funcdef (list <id>*) <expr>)
<expr>      ::= (app-exp <id> (list <expr>*))

```

- When evaluating a procedure application, we'll need a way to find a defined procedure
  - Use an environment (so we have two: local and top-level)

# Implementing Top-Level Procedure Definitions

(implement in DrScheme)

# How to Design Better Programs

Let's open an aquarium

- At first, we only care about the weight of each fish
- Represent a fish as a number
- Represent the aquarium as a list of numbers
- Functions include **big**, which takes an aquarium and returns only the fish bigger than 5 pounds

# Aquarium Functions

Start with a template (generic):

**:: lon-function** : <list-of-num> → <????>

**(define (lon-function l)**

**(cond**

**[(null? l) ...]**

**[(pair? l) ... (car l) ... (lon-function (cdr l)) ...]))**

## Getting the Big Fish

**:: big : <l-o-n> → <l-o-n>**

```
(define (big l)  
  (cond  
    [(null? l) '()]  
    [(pair? l)  
      (cond  
        [(> (car l) 5) (cons (car l) (big (cdr l)))]  
        [else (big (cdr l))])])
```

**(big '(2 4 10)) → '(10)**



## Getting the Small Fish

;; **small** : <l-o-n> → <l-o-n>

```
(define (small l)
  (cond
    [(null? l) '()]
    [(pair? l)
     (cond
       [(< (car l) 5) (cons (car l) (small (cdr l)))]
       [else (small (cdr l))])]))
```

- Tiny changes to **big**, so cut-and-paste old code?

## A Note on Cut and Paste

When you cut and paste code, you cut and paste bugs

*Avoid cut-and-paste whenever possible!*

- Alternative to cut and paste: ***abstraction***

## Filtering Fish

**:: filter-fish : ( $\langle \text{num} \rangle \langle \text{num} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{bool} \rangle$ )  $\langle \text{l-o-n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{l-o-n} \rangle$**

**(define (filter-fish **OP** l)**

**(cond**

**[(null? l) '()]**

**[(pair? l)**

**(cond**

**[(**OP** (car l) 5) (cons (car l) (filter-fish **OP** (cdr l)))]**

**[else (filter-fish **OP** (cdr l))])])])**

**(define (big l) (filter-fish > l))**

**(define (small l) (filter-fish < l))**

## More Filters

- Medium fish?

No problem:

```
(define (medium l) (filter-fish = l))
```

## More Filters

- How about fish that are *roughly* medium, between 4 and 6 pounds?

**close-to** :  $\langle \text{num} \rangle \langle \text{num} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{bool} \rangle$

```
(define (close-to n m)
  (and (>= n (- m 1)) (<= n (+ m 1))))
```

```
(define (roughly-medium l) (filter-fish close-to l))
```

Remember: **function names are values!**

*Note the contract for **close-to***

## More Filters

- How about 2-pound fish?

Abstract **filter-fish** with respect to the number 5?

**:: filter-fish : ... <num> <l-o-n> → <l-o-n>**

**(define (filter-fish OP N I)**

**(cond**

**[(null? I) '()]**

**[(pair? I)**

**(cond**

**[(OP (car I) N) (cons (car I) (filter-fish OP N (cdr I)))]**

**[else (filter-fish OP N (cdr I))])])])**

## More Filters

- How about 2-pound fish?

Abstract **filter-fish** with respect to the number 5?

- How about fish that are either 2 pounds or 4 pounds?

Actually, we can write either of those already:

```
(define (size-2-or-4 n m)
  (or (= n 2) (= n 4)) ; ignores m
```

```
(define (2-or-4-fish l) (filter-fish size-2-or-4 l))
```

This suggests a simplification of **filter-fish**

## Filter

**:: filter** : ( $\langle \text{num} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{bool} \rangle$ )  $\langle \text{l-o-n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{l-o-n} \rangle$

**(define (filter PRED I)**

**(cond**

**[(null? I) '()]**

**[(pair? I)**

**(cond**

**[(PRED (car I)) (cons (car I) (filter PRED (cdr I)))]**

**[else (filter PRED (cdr I))])])**

**(define (greater-than-5 n)**

**(> n 5))**

**(define (big I) (filter greater-than-5 I))**



## Local Helpers

Since only **big** needs to use **greater-than-5**, make it local:

```
(define (big l)
  (let ([greater-than-5 (lambda (n) (> n 5))])
    (filter greater-than-5 l)))
```

- Suppose we move to Texas, where "big" means more than 10 pounds

```
(define (texas-big l)
  (let ([greater-than-10 (lambda (n) (> n 10))])
    (filter greater-than-10 l)))
```

*More cut-and-paste?!*

## Abstraction over Local Functions

```
(define (relatively-big l m)
  (let ([greater-than-m (lambda (n) (> n m))])
    (filter greater-than-m l)))
```

```
(define (big l) (relatively-big l 5))
(define (texas-big l) (relatively-big l 10))
```

```
(big '(2 4 8 11)) = '(8 11)
(texas-big '(2 4 8 11)) = '(11)
```

How does that work?

## Evaluation with Local Functions

```
(define (rel-big l m)
  (let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n m))])
    (filter gt-m l)))
```

→

```
(define (rel-big l m)
  (let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n m))])
    (filter gt-m l)))
```

```
(define (big l)
  (rel-big l 5))
```

```
(define (big l)
  (rel-big l 5))
```

```
(big '(2 4 8))
```

```
(rel-big '(2 4 8) 5)
```

## Evaluation with Local Functions

```
(define (rel-big l m)
  (let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n m))])
    (filter gt-m l)))
```

→

```
(define (rel-big l m)
  (let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n m))])
    (filter gt-m l)))
```

```
(define (big l)
  (rel-big l 5))
```

```
(define (big l)
  (rel-big l 5))
```

```
(rel-big '(2 4 8) 5)
```

```
(let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n 5))])
  (filter gt-m '(2 4 8)))
```

## Evaluation with Local Functions

```
(define (rel-big l m)
  (let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n m))])
    (filter gt-m l)))
```

→

```
(define (rel-big l m)
  (let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n m))])
    (filter gt-m l)))
```

```
(define (big l)
  (rel-big l 5))
```

```
(define (big l)
  (rel-big l 5))
```

```
(let ([gt-m ( $\lambda$  (n) (> n 5))])
  (filter gt-m '(2 4 8)))
```

```
(define (gt-m98 n) (> n 5)))

(filter gt-m98 '(2 4 8))
```

Every time we call **rel-big** we get a brand-new **gt-m**

## Filter and Map

- A function like **filter** is so useful that it's usually built in
  - But not in the EoPL language, unfortunately
- Here's one that's even more useful (and is built in):

**:: map** : (**<num>** → **<num>**) **<list-of-num>** → **<list-of-num>**

**(define (map F I)**

**(cond**

**[(null? I) '()]**

**[else (cons (F (car I)) (map F (cdr I)))]))**

**(map add1 '(1 2 3)) = '(2 3 4)**

## Map, More Generally

Actually, **map** is more general

**:: map : (X  $\rightarrow$  Y) list-of-X  $\rightarrow$  list-of-Y**

**(map even? '(1 2 3)) = '(#f #t #f)**

**(map car '((1 2) (3 4) (5 6))) = '(1 3 5)**

Actually, **map** is *more* general!

**:: map : (X<sub>1</sub> ... X<sub>n</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Y) l-o-X<sub>1</sub> ... l-o-X<sub>n</sub>  $\rightarrow$  l-o-Y**

**(map + '(1 2 3) '(4 5 6)) = '(5 7 9)**

**(map cons '(1 2 3) '(#f #f #t)) = '((1 . #f) (2 . #f) (3 . #t))**

# Closing Thought

Why must functions always have a name?