

Recap: Concrete and Abstract Syntax

- Every language X has one ***concrete syntax***
- Programmers using language X write programs using the concrete syntax
- To represent programs in language X for processing with language Y , we represent ***abstract syntax*** for X programs
- The representation is specific to X in Y , but there is more than one choice

'(+ 1 2)

(plus (number 1) (number 2))

Recap: Concrete and Abstract Syntax

- Every language X has one ***concrete syntax***
- Programmers using language X write programs using the concrete syntax
- To represent programs in language X for processing with language Y , we represent ***abstract syntax*** for X programs
- The representation is specific to X in Y , but there is more than one choice
- Abstract syntax is ***abstract*** because it omits irrelevant details
(``irrelevant'' depends on the analysis task)

Concrete Syntax for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=   <expr>
<expr>   ::=   <num>
            ::=   <id>
            ::=   <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
<prim>   ::=   +   |   -   |   *   |   add1   |   sub1
```

Example:

1

Concrete Syntax for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=   <expr>
<expr>   ::=   <num>
            ::=   <id>
            ::=   <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
<prim>   ::=   +   |   -   |   *   |   add1   |   sub1
```

Example:

x

Concrete Syntax for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=   <expr>
<expr>   ::=   <num>
            ::=   <id>
            ::=   <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
<prim>   ::=   +   |   -   |   *   |   add1   |   sub1
```

Example:

+ (1, 2)

Concrete Syntax for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=   <expr>
<expr>   ::=   <num>
            ::=   <id>
            ::=   <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
<prim>   ::=   +   |   -   |   *   |   add1   |   sub1
```

Example:

+(1, 2, 3)

Concrete Syntax for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=   <expr>
<expr>   ::=   <num>
            ::=   <id>
            ::=   <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
<prim>   ::=   +   |   -   |   *   |   add1   |   sub1
```

Example:

add1(1)

Concrete Syntax for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=   <expr>
<expr>   ::=   <num>
            ::=   <id>
            ::=   <prim> ( { <expr> }*(,) )
<prim>   ::=   +   |   -   |   *   |   add1   |   sub1
```

Example:

add1(+(2, x))

Representation for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=  (a-program <expr>)
<expr>   ::=  (lit-exp <num>)
              ::=  (var-exp <symbol>)
              ::=  (primapp-exp <prim> (list <expr>*))
<prim>   ::=  (add-prim)  |  (subtract-prim)
              ::=  (mult-prim) |  (inc-prim)  |  (decr-prim)
```

Concrete: 1

Abstract representation:

(**a-program** (**lit-exp** 1))

Representation for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=  (a-program <expr>)
<expr>   ::=  (lit-exp <num>)
              ::=  (var-exp <symbol>)
              ::=  (primapp-exp <prim> (list <expr>*))
<prim>   ::=  (add-prim)  |  (subtract-prim)
              ::=  (mult-prim) |  (inc-prim)  |  (decr-prim)
```

Concrete: **x**

Abstract representation:

(a-program (var-exp 'x))

Representation for the Book Language

```
<prog>   ::=  (a-program <expr>)
<expr>   ::=  (lit-exp <num>)
              ::=  (var-exp <symbol>)
              ::=  (primapp-exp <prim> (list <expr>*))
<prim>   ::=  (add-prim)  |  (subtract-prim)
              ::=  (mult-prim) |  (inc-prim)  |  (decr-prim)
```

Concrete: +(1, 2)

Abstract representation:

```
(a-program
  (primapp-exp (add-prim) (list (lit-exp 1) (lit-exp 2))))
```

Representation for the Book Language

```
<prog> ::= (a-program <expr>)
<expr> ::= (lit-exp <num>)
          ::= (var-exp <symbol>)
          ::= (primapp-exp <prim> (list <expr>*))
<prim> ::= (add-prim) | (subtract-prim)
          ::= (mult-prim) | (inc-prim) | (decr-prim)
```

But the connection between concrete and abstract/representation examples is only in our heads right now...

Parsing

- Converting concrete syntax to abstract syntax is the job of a *parser*
- Parsing is a deep topic with a long history...
- ... that we will ignore almost entirely
- The EoPL extensions to Scheme include a parser generator called ***SLLGEN***
(see parser example in DrScheme)

Ways of Evaluating

- So far:

$$*(+(3, 4), -(2, 1)) \rightarrow *(7, -(2, 1)) \rightarrow *(7, 1) \rightarrow 7$$

- Alternative:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} +(3,4) = 7 & & -(2,1) = 1 \\ \hline *(+3,4), -(2,1) = 7 & & \end{array}$$

In other words, to evaluate an expression, first evaluate the sub-expressions, then combine their values

=> a recursive **eval-expression** function

eval-expression

(implementation in DrScheme)

- Note: evaluating an identifier is an error for now

Add Conditionals

- Concrete:

`<expr> ::= if <expr> then <expr> else <expr>`

- Abstract:

`<expr> ::= (if-exp <expr> <expr> <expr>)`

(update implementation in DrScheme)

Add Local Bindings

- Concrete:

$$\langle \text{expr} \rangle ::= \text{let } \{ \langle \text{id} \rangle = \langle \text{expr} \rangle \}^* \text{ in } \langle \text{expr} \rangle$$

- Abstract:

$$\langle \text{expr} \rangle ::= (\text{let-exp} (\text{list } \langle \text{symbol} \rangle^*) (\text{list } \langle \text{expr} \rangle^*) \langle \text{expr} \rangle)$$

Evaluating an identifier isn't an error anymore... but how does **eval-expression** know the value of the identifier?

Evaluating Let

- One possibility: for **let-exp** expressions, **eval-expression** could call **substitute** on the body
- Another possibility: **eval-expression** can perform the substitution lazily, as it goes
 - **eval-expression** now takes two arguments: an expression and a set of lazy substitutions
 - the set of lazy substitutions is called an ***environment***

Environments

Implement environments as an ADT with three operations:

- (**empty-env**) : creates an empty environment; i.e., no substitutions
- (**extend-env** *<env>* (**list** *<symbol>**)) (**list** *<val>**)) : creates a new environment that has the substitutions of *<env>*, plus (or instead of) the substitution of each *<symbol>* with *<val>*
- (**apply-env** *<env>* *<symbol>*) : extracts the substitution of *<symbol>* from *<env>*

Environment Examples

```
(let ([s (extend-env '(x) '(1) (empty-env))])  
    (apply-env s 'x))  
→→ 1
```

Environment Examples

```
(let ([s (extend-env '(x y z) '(1 2 3) (empty-env))])  
  (apply-env s 'y))  
→ 2
```

Environment Examples

```
(let ([s (extend-env '(x y z) '(1 2 3) (empty-env))])  
    (let ([t (extend-env '(a y) '(5 6) s)])  
        (apply-env t 'a))  
    →→ 5
```

Environment Examples

```
(let ([s (extend-env '(x y z) '(1 2 3) (empty-env))])  
    (let ([t (extend-env '(a y) '(5 6) s)])  
        (apply-env t 'y))  
    →→ 6
```

Environment Examples

```
(apply-env (empty-env) 'x)  
→→ error
```

Implementing Let

(update implementation in DrScheme)