

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ x 1))  
(f 10)
```

```
(define (f y) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

yes

argument is consistently renamed

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ x 1))  
(f 10)
```

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

no

not a use of the argument anymore

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ x 1))  
(f 10)
```

```
(define (f y) (+ x 1))  
(f 10)
```

no

not a use of the argument anymore

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

```
(define (f z) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

yes

argument never used, so almost any name is ok

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

```
(define (f y) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

no

now a use of the argument

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x) (+ y 1))  
(f 10)
```

```
(define (f x) (+ z 1))  
(f 10)
```

no

still an undefined variable, but a different one

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ x y)))
(f 0)
```

```
(define (f z)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ z y)))
(f 0)
```

yes

argument is consistently renamed

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ x y)))
(f 0)
```

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([z 10])
    (+ x z)))
(f 0)
```

yes

local variable is consistently renamed

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ x y)))
(f 0)
```

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([x 10])
    (+ x x)))
(f 0)
```

no

local variable now hides the argument

The Arbitrariness of Variable Names

- Are the following two programs equivalent?

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ x y)))
(f 0)
```

```
(define (f y)
  (let ([y 10])
    (+ y y)))
(f 0)
```

no

local variable now hides the argument

Free and Bound Variables

- A variable for the argument of a function or the name of a local variable is a ***binding occurrence***

(define (f x y) (+ x y z))

(let ([a 3][c 4]) (+ a b c))

Free and Bound Variables

- A use of a function argument or a local variable is a ***bound occurrence***

(define (f x y) (+ x y z))

(let ([a 3][c 4]) (+ a b c))

Free and Bound Variables

- A use of a variable that is not function argument or a local variable is a ***free variable***

(define (f x y) (+ x y z))

(let ([a 3][c 4]) (+ a b c))

Evaluating Let

... (**let** ([<id>₁ <val>₁]...[<id>_k <val>_k]) <expr>_a) ...

→

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with **free** <id>_i replaced by <val>_i

(**let** ([x 10]) (**let** ([x 2] x))

→

(**let** ([x 2] x)

→

2

Evaluating Let

... (**let** ([<id>₁ <val>₁]...[<id>_k <val>_k]) <expr>_a) ...

→

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with **free** <id>_i replaced by <val>_i

```
(let ([x 10])  
  (let ([x (+ x 1)]) x))
```

Evaluating Let

... (**let** ([<id>₁ <val>₁]...[<id>_k <val>_k]) <expr>_a) ...

→

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with **free** <id>_i replaced by <val>_i

(**let** ([**x** 10])
 (**let** ([**x** (+ **x** 1)]) **x**))

→

(**let** ([**x** (+ 10 1)]) **x**)

→

(**let** ([**x** 11]) **x**) → 11

Evaluating Function Calls, Revised

... (**define** (<id>₀ <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>_a) ...

... (<id>₀ <val>₁...<val>_k) ...

→

... (**define** (<id>₀ <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>_a) ...

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with **free** <id>_i replaced by <val>_i

Local Functions

Recall that

```
(define <id>0 (lambda (<id>1...<id>k) <expr>))
```

is shorthand for

```
(define (<id>0 <id>1...<id>k) <expr>)
```

New rule: **lambda** is allowed in **let** bindings to define local functions:

```
(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x 1))])  
  (f 10))
```

Evaluation of Local Functions

```
(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x 1))])  
  (f 10))
```

→

```
(define f1073 (lambda (x) (+ x 1)))  
(f1073 10)
```

→

```
(define f1073 (lambda (x) (+ x 1)))  
(+ 10 1)
```

→

11

Evaluation of Local Functions

...

... (**let** ([<id> (**lambda** (<id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>)]) <expr>_a) ...

→

... (**define** (<id>_x <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>)

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with free <id> replaced by <id>_x and _x is a subscript that has never been used before, and never will be used again

Lexical Scope

```
(define (f x)
  (let ([g (lambda (y) (+ y x))])
    (let ([x 2])
      (g 3))))
(f 7)
```

Will **x** be 7 or 2?

7, due to ***lexical scope***: the value of a bound occurrence comes from its binding

Need a complete definition of ***free*** and ***bound***...

Free and Bound Variables in Scheme

For simplicity, we consider a variant of Scheme that is more restricted than usual:

```
<expr> ::= <num>
        ::= <id>
        ::= (+ <expr> <expr>)
        ::= (let ([<id> <expr>]) <expr>)
        ::= (let ([<id> (lambda (<id>) <expr>)]) <expr>)
        ::= (<id> <expr>)
```

Free Variables in Scheme

- $\langle \text{num} \rangle$ has no free variables
- $\langle \text{id} \rangle$ has one free variable: $\langle \text{id} \rangle$
- $(+ \langle \text{expr} \rangle_1 \langle \text{expr} \rangle_2)$ has all the free variables of $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_1$ and $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_2$ combined
- $(\text{let} ([\langle \text{id} \rangle_a \langle \text{expr} \rangle_b]) \langle \text{expr} \rangle_a)$ has all the free variables of $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_a$ minus $\langle \text{id} \rangle_a$, plus all the free variables of $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_b$
- $(\text{let} ([\langle \text{id} \rangle_a (\text{lambda} (\langle \text{id} \rangle_b) \langle \text{expr} \rangle_b)]) \langle \text{expr} \rangle_a)$ has all the free variables of $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_a$ minus $\langle \text{id} \rangle_a$, plus all the free variables of $\langle \text{expr} \rangle_b$ minus $\langle \text{id} \rangle_b$
- $(\langle \text{id} \rangle \langle \text{expr} \rangle)$ has all the free variable $\langle \text{id} \rangle$ plus all the free variables of $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$

Free Variables in Scheme

See implementation in Scheme

Reviews `define-datatype` motivation and use

Bound Variables in Scheme

- `<num>` has no bound variables
- `<id>` has no bound variables
- `(+ <expr>1 <expr>2)` has all the bound variables of `<expr>1` and `<expr>2` combined
- `(let ([<id>a <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has the bound variable `<id>a` if it is free in `<expr>a`, plus the bound variables of `<expr>a` and `<expr>b`
- `(let ([<id>a (lambda (<id>b) <expr>b]) <expr>a)` has the bound variable `<id>a` if it is free in `<expr>a`, plus the bound variable `<id>b` if it is free in `<expr>b`, plus the bound variables of `<expr>a` and `<expr>b`
- `(<id> <expr>)` has all the bound variables of `<expr>`

let*

let* is a shorthand for nested **lets**

$$(\mathbf{let}^* ([<\mathbf{id}>_1 \ <\mathbf{expr}>_1] \dots [<\mathbf{id}>_k \ <\mathbf{expr}>_k]) \ <\mathbf{expr}>)$$

=

$$(\mathbf{let} ([<\mathbf{id}>_1 \ <\mathbf{expr}>_1]) \ \dots \ (\mathbf{let} ([<\mathbf{id}>_k \ <\mathbf{expr}>_k]) \ <\mathbf{expr}>)\dots)$$

$(\mathbf{let} ([x \ 1][y \ x][z \ y]) \ z) \rightarrow \rightarrow \text{undefined variable } x$

$(\mathbf{let}^* ([x \ 1][y \ x][z \ y]) \ z) \rightarrow \rightarrow 1$

letrec

letrec binds its identifiers in local function bodies, as well as the main body

...

... (**letrec** ([<id> (**lambda** (<id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>_c)] <expr>_a) ...

→

... (**define** (<id>_x <id>₁...<id>_k) <expr>_d)

... <expr>_b ...

where <expr>_b is <expr>_a with free <id> replaced by <id>_x, <expr>_d is <expr>_c with free <id> replaced by <id>_x and _x is a subscript that has never been used before, and never will be used again

Free Variables with letrec

- (**letrec** ([<id>_a (**lambda** (<id>_b) <expr>_b)] <expr>_a) has all the free variables of <expr>_a minus <id>_a, plus all the free variables of <expr>_b minus <id>_a and <id>_b

Bound Variables with letrec

- (**let** ([<id>_a (**lambda** (<id>_b) <expr>_b)] <expr>_a) has the bound variable <id>_a if it is free in <expr>_a or <expr>_b, plus the bound variable <id>_b if it is free in <expr>_b, plus all the bound variables of <expr>_a and <expr>_b