How to Design A Program (So Far)



Challenge Problem

• Implement the function **odd-items** which takes a list-of-X and produces a list-of-X containing every other item in the given list (including the first item)

Data Representation and Contract

Already done for us:

```
; odd-items : list-of-X -> list-of-X
```

Examples

(odd-items empty) "should be" empty

```
(odd-items '(1 2 3 4 5))
"should be" '(1 3 5)
```

(odd-items '(apple banana cherry))
"should be" '(apple cherry)

(odd-items (list true false))
"should be" (list true)



We know that **foldr** captures the template for **list-of-X**, so choose the left branch – and abstraction is done already!



Problem: the odd items of the rest of the list are useless for the odd items of the whole list



Same problem – it's not just a reuse problem...

Structural Recursion

- For recursively defined data, our recipe so far always produces structurally recursive programs
- In a sense, it always works:

```
(define (odd-items 1)
  (first
    (foldr (lambda (item odds+evens)
                (list (cons item
                     (second odds+evens))
                (first odds+evens)))
        (list empty empty) 1)))
```

But making structural recursion work sometimes requires more creativity than solving the problem a different way

Generative Recursion

Structural recursion is a powerful tool, but we need more tools

Our new tool is *generative recursion*:

Structural recursion is a special case of generative recursion that is especially common

Back to Odd Items

When the list given to **odd-items** has less than two items, the problem is trivial to solve:

```
(define (odd-items 1)
  (cond
    [(or (empty? 1)
        (empty? (rest 1)))
        1]
      [else ...]))
```

Back to Odd Items

Otherwise, it's helpful to have the **rest** of the **rest**:

```
(define (odd-items 1)
  (cond
    [(or (empty? 1)
        (empty? (rest 1)))
    1]
    [else (cons
        (first 1)
        (odd-items (rest (rest 1)))]))
```

How to Design A Program



```
; make-secret-checker : num -> (num -> sym)
(define (make-secret-checker n)
  (local [(define secret (random n))]
    (lambda (m)
      (cond
      [(= m secret) 'perfect]
      [(< m secret) 'too-small]
      [(> m secret) 'too-large]))))
```

 Implement the function discover-number which takes a number n and a function produced by (make-secret-checker n), and returns the secret number in the function Data Representation and Contract

Apparently done already:

```
; discover-number : num (num -> sym) -> num
```



(discover-number 1 (make-secret-checker 1))
"should be" 0

(discover-number 3 (make-secret-checker 3))
"should be" "0 or 1 or 2"



- Abstract/reuse: nothing obvious
- Template: nothing for num

... but is it really **nat**?

Yes, starting from 1



```
; discover-number : nat (nat -> sym) -> nat
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (cond
  [(= n 1) ...]
  [else
   ...
   (discover-number (subl n) checker)
   ...]))
```



```
; discover-number : nat (nat -> sym) -> nat
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (cond
  [(= n 1) 0]
  [else
   ...
   (discover-number (subl n) checker)
   ...]))
```



```
; discover-number : nat (nat -> sym) -> nat
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (cond
    [(= n 1) 0]
    [else
      (cond
      [(symbol=? (checker n) 'perfect) n]
      [else
      (discover-number (sub1 n) checker)])]))
```



```
; discover-number : nat (nat -> sym) -> nat
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (cond
    [(= n 1) 0]
    [else
     (cond
       [(symbol=? (checker n) 'perfect) n]
       [else
        (discover-number (sub1 n) checker)])))
```

This works, but is there a better way?

If you know a number is between 0 and 9:



and you only get 'perfect or 'imperfect answers to guesses, there's no better way to find the number



If you know a number is between 0 and 9:



and you only get 'perfect or 'imperfect answers to guesses, there's no better way to find the number



If you know a number is between 0 and 9:



but you get 'perfect, 'too-small, or 'too-large answers, it's better to guess in the middle



If you know a number is between 0 and 9:



but you get 'perfect, 'too-small, or 'too-large answers, it's better to guess in the middle





- Trivially solvable if mid-point is 'perfect
- Otherwise, mid-point results cuts the range in half try again

```
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (discover-in-range 0 (sub1 n) checker))
```

```
; discover-in-range : nat nat (nat -> bool) -> num
; Finds the number between lo and hi (inclusive)
(define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
  (cond
    [trivial? ...]
    [else
    ... (discover-in-range ...)
    ...]))
```

```
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (discover-in-range 0 (subl n) checker))
```

```
; discover-in-range : nat nat (nat -> bool) -> num
; Finds the number between lo and hi (inclusive)
(define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
  (local [(define mid (quotient (+ lo hi) 2))]
     (cond
     [trivial? ...]
     [else
     ... (discover-in-range ...)
     ...])))
```

```
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (discover-in-range 0 (subl n) checker))
```

```
; discover-in-range : nat nat (nat -> bool) -> num
; Finds the number between lo and hi (inclusive)
(define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
  (local [(define mid (quotient (+ lo hi) 2))]
    (cond
      [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'prefect) mid]
      [else
      ... (discover-in-range ...)
      ...])))
```

```
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (discover-in-range 0 (sub1 n) checker))
```

```
; discover-in-range : nat nat (nat -> bool) -> num
; Finds the number between lo and hi (inclusive)
(define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
  (local [(define mid (quotient (+ lo hi) 2))]
    (cond
      [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'prefect) mid]
      [else
      ... (discover-in-range lo mid)
      ... (discover-in-range hi hi) ...])))
```

```
(define (discover-number n checker)
  (discover-in-range 0 (subl n) checker))
```

```
; discover-in-range : nat nat (nat -> bool) -> num
; Finds the number between lo and hi (inclusive)
(define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
  (local [(define mid (quotient (+ lo hi) 2))]
   (cond
      [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'prefect) mid]
      [else
      (cond
      [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'too-large)
      (discover-in-range lo mid)]
      [else
      (discover-in-range mid hi)])])))
```

```
(discover-number 10 check-7)
```

```
\rightarrow
```

```
(discover-in-range 0 9 check-7)
```

USING (define (discover-number n checker) (discover-in-range 0 (subl n) checker))

```
(discover-in-range 0 9 check-7)
\rightarrow
(cond
  [(symbol=? (check-7 4) 'perfect) 4]
  [else
    (cond
      [(symbol=? (check-7 4) 'too-large)
        (discover-in-range 0 4 check-7)]
      [else
        (discover-in-range 4 9 check-7)])])
using
        (define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
         (local [(define mid (quotient (+ lo hi) 2))]
           (cond
             [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'prefect) mid]
             [else
              (cond
               [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'too-large)
                (discover-in-range lo mid)]
               [else
                (discover-in-range mid hi)]))))
```

```
(cond
  [(symbol=? (check-7 4) 'perfect) 4]
  [else
   (cond
     [(symbol=? (check-7 4) 'too-large)
      (discover-in-range 0 4 check-7)]
     [else
      (discover-in-range 4 9 check-7)])])
\rightarrow
(cond
  [(symbol=? (check-7 4) 'too-large)
   (discover-in-range 0 4 check-7)]
  [else
   (discover-in-range 4 9 check-7)])
```

```
(cond
  [(symbol=? (check-7 4) 'too-large)
  (discover-in-range 0 4 check-7)]
  [else
  (discover-in-range 4 9 check-7)])
```

```
(discover-in-range 4 9 check-7)
```

```
(discover-in-range 4 9 check-7)

→
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-7 6) 'perfect) 6]
 [else
 (cond
  [(symbol=? (check-7 6) 'too-large)
  (discover-in-range 4 6 check-7)]
 [else
 (discover-in-range 6 9 check-7)])])
```

```
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-7 6) 'perfect) 6]
 [else
 (cond
    [(symbol=? (check-7 6) 'too-large)
    (discover-in-range 4 6 check-7)]
    [else
    (discover-in-range 6 9 check-7)])])
→
```

(discover-in-range 6 9 check-7)

```
(discover-in-range 6 9 check-7)

→
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-7 7) 'perfect) 7]
 [else
 (cond
 [(symbol=? (check-7 7) 'too-large)
 (discover-in-range 6 7 check-7)]
 [else
 (discover-in-range 7 9 check-7)]))
```

```
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-7 7) 'perfect) 7]
 [else
 (cond
    [(symbol=? (check-7 7) 'too-large)
    (discover-in-range 6 7 check-7)]
    [else
    (discover-in-range 7 9 check-7)])])
```

 \rightarrow

7

(discover-number 3 check-2)

 \rightarrow

(discover-in-range 0 2 check-2)

```
(discover-in-range 0 2 check-2)

→
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'perfect) 1]
 [else
 (cond
  [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'too-large)
  (discover-in-range 0 1 check-2)]
 [else
 (discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)]))
```

```
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'perfect) 1]
 [else
 (cond
    [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'too-large)
    (discover-in-range 0 1 check-2)]
    [else
    (discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)])])
→
```

(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

```
(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

→
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'perfect) 1]
 [else
 (cond
  [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'too-small)
  (discover-in-range 1 2 check-7)]
 [else
 (discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)])])
```

```
(cond
 [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'perfect) 1]
 [else
 (cond
    [(symbol=? (check-2 1) 'too-small)
    (discover-in-range 1 2 check-7)]
    [else
    (discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)])])
→
```

(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

 \rightarrow

(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

(discover-in-range 1 2 check-2)

Infinite loop!

 \rightarrow

Generative Recursion and Termination

- With structural recursion, a program always *terminates*
 - Every value is finite
- With generative recursion, termination becomes more tricky
 - You have to argue that the problem size definitely gets smaller for every recursive call

Guessing a Number, Corrected

```
(define (discover-in-range lo hi checker)
 (local [(define mid (quotient (+ lo hi) 2))]
  (cond
    [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'prefect) mid]
    [else
      (cond
      [(symbol=? (checker mid) 'too-large)
      (discover-in-range lo (sub1 mid))]
    [else
      (discover-in-range (add1 mid) hi)])])))
```

Algorithms

Our **discover-in-range** function is an example of a general *algorithm* called *binary search*

Many algorithms are less obvious than binary search Mostly you'll use general algorithms, not invent them

- Algorithm textbooks are like "recipe" books
- Few people design new general algorithms

Generative recursion is far more common than general algorithms, and it's often merely structural recursion