### Introduction to Streaming Algorithms

#### Jeff M. Phillips

September 21, 2011

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

### Network Router

#### Internet Router

- data per day: at least l Terabyte
- packet takes 8 nanoseconds to pass through router
- few million packets per second
- What statistics can we keep on data?

Want to detect anomalies for security.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### **Telephone Switch**

Cell phones connect through switches

- each message 1000 Bytes
- ► 500 Million calls / day
- 1 Terabyte per month Search for characteristics for dropped calls?



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

### Ad Auction

Serving Ads on web Google, Yahoo!, Microsoft

- Yahoo.com viewed 77 trillion times
- 2 million / hour
- Each page serves ads; which ones?

How to update ad delivery model?



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Flight Logs on Tape

All airplane logs over Washington, DC

- About 500 1000 flights per day.
- 50 years, total about 9 million flights
- Each flight has trajectory, passenger count, control dialog

Stored on Tape. Can make 1 pass! What statistics can be gathered?





CPU makes "one pass" on data

- Ordered set  $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$
- Each  $a_i \in [n]$ , size log n
- Compute f(A) or maintain f(A<sub>i</sub>) for A<sub>i</sub> = ⟨a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>i</sub>⟩.



CPU makes "one pass" on data

- Ordered set  $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$
- Each  $a_i \in [n]$ , size log n
- Compute f(A) or maintain f(A<sub>i</sub>) for A<sub>i</sub> = ⟨a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>i</sub>⟩.
- Space restricted to S = O(poly(log m, log n)).
- Updates O(poly(S)) for each a<sub>i</sub>.



Space:

- Ideally  $S = O(\log m + \log n)$
- $\log n = \text{size of } 1 \text{ word}$
- log m = to store number of words

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト



Space:

- Ideally  $S = O(\log m + \log n)$
- ▶ log n = size of 1 word
- ▶ log m = to store number of words Updates:
  - $O(S^2)$  or  $O(S^3)$  can be too much!

Ideally updates in O(S)



- Each  $a_i$  a number in [n]
- $f(A_i) = \operatorname{average}(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\})$



- ▶ Each *a<sub>i</sub>* a number in [*n*]
- $f(A_i) = \operatorname{average}(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\})$
- Maintain: *i* and  $s = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_i$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

• 
$$f(A_i) = s/i$$



- ▶ Each *a<sub>i</sub>* a number in [*n*]
- $f(A_i) = \operatorname{average}(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\})$
- Maintain: *i* and  $s = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_i$ .
- $f(A_i) = s/i$
- Problem? s is bigger than a word!



- ▶ Each *a<sub>i</sub>* a number in [*n*]
- $f(A_i) = \operatorname{average}(\{a_1, \ldots, a_i\})$
- Maintain: *i* and  $s = \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_i$ .
- $f(A_i) = s/i$
- Problem? s is bigger than a word!
- s is not bigger than (log s/log n) words (big int data structure)
- usually 2 or 3 words is fine

$$|f(A) - \hat{f}(A)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot f(A).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\hat{f}(A)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of f(A).

$$|f(A) - \hat{f}(A)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot f(A).$$

 $\hat{f}(A)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of f(A). Example: Average





• (b) top  $k = \log(1/\varepsilon) + 1$  bits of s:  $\hat{s}$ 

• (c) number of bits in s

• Let 
$$\hat{f}(A) = \hat{s}/i$$

$$|f(A) - \hat{f}(A)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot f(A).$$

 $\hat{f}(A)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of f(A). Example: Average

(a) the count: i

• (b) top 
$$k = \log(1/\varepsilon) + 1$$
 bits of s:  $\hat{s}$ 

► (c) number of bits in s

• Let 
$$\hat{f}(A) = \hat{s}/i$$

First bit has  $\geq (1/2)f(A)$ Second bit has  $\leq (1/4)f(A)$ *j*th bit has  $\leq (1/2^j)f(A)$ 

 $k = \log(1/\varepsilon)$ 

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (1/2^j) f(A) < (1/2^k) f(A) < \varepsilon \cdot f(A)$$

$$|f(A) - \hat{f}(A)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot f(A).$$

 $\hat{f}(A)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of f(A). Example: Average

(a) the count: i

• (b) top 
$$k = \log(1/\varepsilon) + 1$$
 bits of s:  $\hat{s}$ 

► (c) number of bits in s

• Let 
$$\hat{f}(A) = \hat{s}/i$$

First bit has  $\geq (1/2)f(A)$ Second bit has  $\leq (1/4)f(A)$ *j*th bit has  $\leq (1/2^j)f(A)$ 

 $k = \log(1/\varepsilon)$ 

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (1/2^j) f(A) < (1/2^k) f(A) < \varepsilon \cdot f(A)$$
Where did I cheat?

#### Trick 2: Randomization

Return  $\hat{f}(A)$  instead of f(A) where

$$\Pr\left[|f(A) - \hat{f}(A)| > \varepsilon \cdot f(A)\right] \leq \delta.$$

 $\hat{f}(A)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation of f(A).

#### Trick 2: Randomization

Return  $\hat{f}(A)$  instead of f(A) where

$$\Pr\left[|f(A) - \hat{f}(A)| > \varepsilon \cdot f(A)\right] \leq \delta.$$

 $\hat{f}(A)$  is a  $(1 + \varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation of f(A).

Can fix previous cheat using randomization and Morris Counter (Morris 78, Flajolet 85)

Investment Company (IC) sends out  $100 \times 2^k$  emails:

- 2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go up in next week
   2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go down in next week

After 1 week, 1/2 of email receivers got good advice.

Investment Company (IC) sends out  $100 \times 2^k$  emails:

- 2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go up in next week
   2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go down in next week

After 1 week, 1/2 of email receivers got good advice.

Next week, IC sends letters  $2^{k-1}$  letters, only to those who got good advice.

- 2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go up in next week.
   2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go down in next week.

After 2 weeks, 1/4 of all receivers have gotten good advice twice.

Investment Company (IC) sends out  $100 \times 2^k$  emails:

- 2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go up in next week
   2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go down in next week

After 1 week, 1/2 of email receivers got good advice.

Next week, IC sends letters  $2^{k-1}$  letters, only to those who got good advice.

- 2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go up in next week.
   2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go down in next week.

After 2 weeks, 1/4 of all receivers have gotten good advice twice.

After k weeks 100 receivers got good advice

IC now asks each for money to receive future stock tricks.

Investment Company (IC) sends out  $100 \times 2^k$  emails:

- 2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go up in next week
   2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go down in next week

After 1 week, 1/2 of email receivers got good advice.

Next week, IC sends letters  $2^{k-1}$  letters, only to those who got good advice.

- 2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go up in next week.
   2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go down in next week.

After 2 weeks, 1/4 of all receivers have gotten good advice twice.

After k weeks 100 receivers got good advice

▶ IC now asks each for money to receive future stock tricks.

Don't actually do this!!!

Investment Company (IC) sends out  $100 \times 2^k$  emails:

- 2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go up in next week
   2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go down in next week

After 1 week, 1/2 of email receivers got good advice.

Next week, IC sends letters  $2^{k-1}$  letters, only to those who got good advice.

- 2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go up in next week.
   2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go down in next week.

After 2 weeks, 1/4 of all receivers have gotten good advice twice.

After k weeks 100 receivers got good advice

- IC now asks each for money to receive future stock tricks.
- Don't actually do this!!!

If you are on IC's original email list, with what probability will you receive k good stock tips?

Investment Company (IC) sends out  $100 \times 2^k$  emails:

- 2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go up in next week
   2<sup>k-1</sup> say Stock A will go down in next week

After 1 week, 1/2 of email receivers got good advice.

Next week, IC sends letters  $2^{k-1}$  letters, only to those who got good advice.

- 2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go up in next week.
   2<sup>k-2</sup> say Stock B will go down in next week.

After 2 weeks, 1/4 of all receivers have gotten good advice twice.

After k weeks 100 receivers got good advice

- IC now asks each for money to receive future stock tricks.
- Don't actually do this!!!

If you are on IC's original email list, with what probability will you receive k good stock tips?

$$1 - (1/2)^k$$

Let X be a random variable (RV). Let a > 0 be a parameter.

$$\Pr\left[|X| \ge a\right] \le \frac{\mathsf{E}[|X|]}{a}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Chebyshev's Inequality

Let Y be a random variable. Let b > 0 be a parameter.

$$\Pr\left[|Y - \mathsf{E}[Y]| \ge b\right] \le \frac{\mathsf{Var}[|Y|]}{b^2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Chernoff Inequality

Let  $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_r\}$  be independent random variables. Let  $\Delta_i = \max\{X_i\} - \min\{X_i\}$ . Let  $M = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a parameter.

$$\Pr\left[|M - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{E}[X_i]| \ge \alpha\right] \le 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\alpha^2}{\sum_i \Delta_i^2}\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Chernoff Inequality

Let  $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_r\}$  be independent random variables. Let  $\Delta_i = \max\{X_i\} - \min\{X_i\}$ . Let  $M = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a parameter.

$$\Pr\left[|M - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{E}[X_i]| \ge \alpha\right] \le 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\alpha^2}{\sum_i \Delta_i^2}\right)$$

Often:  $\Delta = \max_i \Delta_i$  and  $\mathbf{E}[X_i] = 0$  then:

$$\Pr\left[|\mathcal{M}| \geq lpha
ight] \leq 2 \exp\left(rac{-2lpha^2}{r\Delta_i^2}
ight)$$

#### Attribution

These slides borrow from material by Muthu Muthukrishnan: http://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~denis/notes09.pdf and Amit Chakrabarti: http://www.cs.dartmouth.edu/~ac/Teach/CS85-Fall09/

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?