

# Asmt 5: Regression

Turn in a hard copy at the start of class:  
Wednesday, April 10  
20 points

## Overview

In this assignment you will explore regression techniques on high-dimensional data.  
You will use a few data sets for this assignment:

- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/M.dat>
- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/X.dat>
- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/Y.dat>
- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/A.dat>
- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5955/A5/B.dat>

This data sets are in matrix format and can be loaded into MATLAB or OCTAVE. By calling `load filename` (for instance `load M.dat`) it will put in memory the data in the file, for instance in the above example the matrix  $M$ . You can then display this matrix by typing

`M`

*As usual, it is highly recommended that you use LaTeX for this assignment. If you do not, you may lose points if your assignment is difficult to read or hard to follow. Find a sample form in this directory: <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/latex/>*

## 1 Singular Value Decomposition (5 points)

First we will compute the SVD of the matrix  $M$  we have loaded

`[U, S, V] = svd(M)`

Then take the top  $k$  columns components of  $M$  for values of  $k = 1$  through  $k = 10$  using

`Uk = U(:, 1:k)`

`Sk = S(1:k, 1:k)`

`Vk = V(:, 1:k)`

`Mk = Uk*Sk*Vk'`

Compute and report the  $L_2$  norm of the difference between  $M$  and  $Mk$  for each value of  $k$  using `norm(M-Mk, 2)`

Find the smallest value  $k$  so that the  $L_2$  norm of  $M-Mk$  is less than 10% that of  $M$ ;  $k$  might or might not be larger than 10.

## 2 Column Sampling (8 points)

Select  $t$  (for  $t$  from 1 to 30) columns  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t\}$  using the two types of column sampling from the matrix data set  $M$ .

Type 1: For each column  $j$   $M(:, j)$  calculate the squared norm  $s_j = \text{norm}(M(:, j))^2$ , and select  $t$  columns proportional to the values  $s_j$ .

**Type 2:** Calculate the SVD of  $M$ :  $[U, S, V] = \text{svd}(M)$ . For each column  $j$  calculate the squared norm projected onto the column space of the top  $k$ -singular vectors:  $w_j = \text{norm}(U_k * U_k' * M(:, j))^2$ , and select  $t$  columns proportional to the values  $w_j$ . (Use  $k = 5$ .)

We now need to measure how accurate a subspace these columns represent. Construct a matrix with the sampled columns  $C = [c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3 \ \dots \ c_t]$ . Then create a projection matrix onto the column space of  $C$  as  $J = C * \text{inverse}(C' * C) * C'$ . Finally calculate the  $L_2$  norm of the difference between  $M$  and  $M$  projected onto the column space of  $C$  as  $\text{norm}(M - J * M, 2)$ .

If the `inverse` returns NaN, then try `pinv`.

**A (4 points):** Report this error for each choice of  $t$ . Since this is a randomized algorithm, the values may vary. You should repeat this experiment several times to get good representative values. Also the nice plotting functions of MATLAB/OCTAVE may be useful as a replacement for presenting this data instead of reporting a series of numbers.

**B (2 points):** For both types of column sampling, estimate how large  $t$  need to be to reach the same error as the SVD approach with  $k = 5$ .

**C (2 points):** Using the values of  $t$  found in part **B**, for both types of column sampling, estimate the number of non-zero entries in these  $t$  columns sampled. Compare this value to the number of non-zero entries in `V5` constructed using the SVD.

### 3 Linear Regression (7 points)

We will find coefficients  $A$  to estimate  $X * A = Y$ . We will compare two approaches *least squares* and *ridge regression*.

Least Squares: Set  $A = \text{inverse}(X' * X) * X' * Y$

Ridge Regression: Set  $A_s = \text{inverse}(X' * X + s * \text{eye}(6)) * X' * Y$

**A (3 points):** Solve for the coefficients  $A$  (or  $A_s$ ) using Least Squares and Ridge Regression with  $s = \{0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0\}$ . For each set of coefficients, report the error in the estimate  $\hat{Y}$  of  $Y$  as  $\text{norm}(Y - X * A, 2)$ .

**B (4 points):** Create three row- subsets of  $X$  and  $Y$

- $X_1 = X(1:8, :)$  and  $Y_1 = Y(1:8)$
- $X_2 = X(3:10, :)$  and  $Y_2 = Y(3:10)$
- $X_3 = [X(1:4, :); X(7:10, :)]$  and  $Y_3 = [Y(1:4); Y(7:10)]$

Repeat the above procedure on these subsets and *cross-validate* the solution on the remainder of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Specifically, learn the coefficients  $A$  using, say,  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  and then measure  $\text{norm}(Y(9:10) - X(9:10, :) * A, 2)$ .

Which approach works best (averaging the results from the three subsets): Least Squares, or for which value of  $s$  using Ridge Regression?

#### 4 BONUS (5 points)

Consider a linear equation  $B = AS$  where  $A$  is a measurement matrix filled with random values  $\{-1, 0, +1\}$  (although now that they are there, they are no longer random), and  $B$  is the output of the sparse signal  $S$  when measured by  $A$ .

Use Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (as described in the notes) to recover the non-zero entries from  $S$ . Record the order in which you find each entry and the residual vector after each step.